Research Paper

Cite this article as: Toosi, R., Nosraty, N., & Tomraee, S. (2025). Using AI to Enhance Health: A Global Perspective. *Journal of World Sociopolitical Studies*, 9(4), 693-736. https://doi.org/10.22059/wsps.2025.395976.1521

Using AI to Enhance Health: A Global Perspective*

Reza Toosi[1], Niloufar Nosraty[2], Simindokht Tomraee[3]

1. Doctor of Medicine, Islamic Azad University, Tehran Medical Sciences Branch, Tehran, Iran (Reza.Toosi@ut.ac.ir) 0009-0005-9293-7574
2. Doctor of Medicine, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran (Corresponding Author) (Niloufar.Nosraty@ut.ac.ir) 0009-0007-6585-6773
3. Doctor of Pharmacy, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran (Simindokht.Tomraee@ut.ac.ir) 0009-0005-8971-2251

(Received: Apr. 24, 2025 Revised: Jul. 01, 2025 Accepted: Jul. 26, 2025)

Abstract

The increasing expense of healthcare creates substantial difficulties for individuals, especially those from disadvantaged economic backgrounds who frequently encounter obstacles in obtaining prompt and adequate medical treatment. This study investigates how artificial intelligence could revolutionize healthcare by reducing these disparities and controlling costs. AI-powered medical services—such as remote consultations, diagnostic aids, and customized health advice—possess the ability to make healthcare information more widely accessible and improve early detection of preventable diseases. These technologies offer scalable, cost-effective solutions to bridge gaps in healthcare delivery, especially in underserved communities. However, the paper also examines the potential downsides of AI health systems, such as privacy concerns, biases in AI algorithms, and the risk of over-reliance on automated systems at the expense of human oversight. Despite these challenges, we argue that the integration of AI into healthcare is not only inevitable, but essential for the future of global health. Rather than dismissing these innovations, efforts should focus on developing ethical frameworks, robust governance, and equitable distribution mechanisms to maximize their benefits.

Keywords: AI Ethics, Artificial Intelligence, Healthcare Costs, Health Innovation, Socio-economic Equity

* The authors have no affiliation with any organization with a direct or indirect financial interest in the subject matter discussed in this manuscript.

Journal of **World Sociopolitical Studies**| Vol. 9| No. 4| Autumn 2025| pp. 693-736
Web Page: https://wsps.ut.ac.ir//Email: wsps@ut.ac.ir
eISSN: 2588-3127 PrintISSN: 2588-3119

1. Introduction

AI is revolutionizing healthcare, addressing critical challenges such as affordability and access while driving substantial market growth. A Deloitte survey reveals that 53% of consumers believe generative AI can enhance healthcare access, while 46% see it as a solution to lowering costs for ordinary Americans. The AI healthcare market has expanded significantly, growing 1,779% from $1.1 billion in 2016 to $22.4 billion in 2023, with projections reaching $208.2 billion by 2030. This surge reflects its global appeal, with the U.S. leading the market, generating $11.8 billion in 2023, and projected to reach $102.2 billion by 2030 (AIPRM, 2024). China shows the highest projected revenue growth at 42.5%, signaling widespread adoption. Clinicians in regions like South America already recognize AI's transformative potential, with 64% stating it aids in decision-making. However, challenges remain, as 60% of patients express discomfort with AI reliance, highlighting the need for trust-building initiatives. Despite ethical and regulatory concerns, AI's trajectory signals an inevitable shift in healthcare's landscape, poised to improve outcomes and enhance efficiency worldwide (AIPRM, 2024).

At its core, AI offers unprecedented opportunities to dismantle traditional barriers, particularly those related to socio-economic inequities. The rising costs of healthcare globally exacerbate the accessibility gap and leave millions unable to afford timely and quality medical interventions. AI is a potential equalizer, introducing tools and systems capable of bridging these divides with efficiency and scalability. However, addressing these challenges requires more than technological innovation; it also demands attention to psychological and societal factors. Psychological factors, such as personality traits, play a significant

role in social incompatibility, often leading to social and organizational challenges. Preventive measures, including education and behavioral interventions, are essential to address the underlying causes of these issues and foster healthier societal and organizational integration (Jamali et al., 1401 [2022 A.D.]). By integrating these insights into AI-driven healthcare systems, stakeholders can ensure that technology not only bridges access gaps, but also addresses the broader psychological and societal factors that influence health outcomes.

Artificial intelligence is making crucial contributions to healthcare through personalized and predictive medicine, adapting treatments according to individual patient information. These innovations prove especially valuable for economically disadvantaged groups who often struggle to obtain regular, specialized medical attention. AI-enabled virtual health aides and remote diagnostic systems facilitate early disease identification, helping avoid costly emergency interventions later. Additionally, the growing availability of mobile health apps expands these advantages further, allowing remote and marginalized populations to receive medical guidance regardless of location or income level (Johnson et al., 2021).

However, the adoption of AI in healthcare is not without its complexities. The integration of these technologies into existing systems raises critical questions about ethical governance, algorithmic transparency, and the risk of perpetuating biases inherent in training data. For example, if AI systems are trained on datasets that inadequately represent diverse populations, the resulting models could inadvertently reinforce health disparities rather than alleviate them. Addressing these challenges requires a robust commitment to inclusivity during the development and

deployment phases, ensuring that the benefits of AI-driven solutions are equitably distributed across demographic and socio-economic spectra.

Beyond addressing disparities, AI holds the promise of revolutionizing clinical workflows and administrative tasks, areas that traditionally contribute to the inefficiencies and high costs of healthcare delivery. Automated systems for patient scheduling, billing, and record management not only reduce operational expenses, but also allow healthcare professionals to focus on patient care. In addition, AI-assisted tools in radiology, pathology, and genomics have demonstrated remarkable accuracy in diagnosing conditions, often outperforming human counterparts. These innovations streamline diagnostic processes, reducing the likelihood of errors, while optimizing treatment timelines—a crucial factor in improving health outcomes (Zeb et al., 2024).

Despite these advancements, there is considerable resistance to fully embracing AI in healthcare, stemming largely from societal mistrust and fears about the dehumanization of medical care. Surveys indicate that many patients express discomfort with AI's growing prominence in healthcare, perceiving it as a threat to the empathetic and interpersonal aspects of medical practice. Similar concerns arise in digital spaces, where Nosraty et al. (2021) found that social media fosters anxiety and self-image struggles due to algorithmic influence. This risk mirrors a broader pattern: studies demonstrate that technological systems actively reshape fundamental human behaviors and social norms—including how trust is formed and relationships are valued (Arsalani et al., 2024). In healthcare, where human connection is therapeutic, AI adoption must therefore include safeguards to preserve these irreplaceable elements. If AI in healthcare is not implemented thoughtfully, it

risks creating similar psychological challenges for patients. This apprehension underscores the importance of maintaining a patient-centered approach, where AI serves as an augmentation rather than a replacement for human expertise. By fostering collaborative models that integrate AI's analytical capabilities with clinicians' experiential knowledge, the healthcare sector can assuage public concerns and build confidence in these systems.

Ho et al. (2023) investigated the acceptance of emotional artificial intelligence technologies within Japan's healthcare system, motivated by the country's aging population and an anticipated shortage of nearly half a million healthcare workers by 2025. While these technologies aim to alleviate strain on the healthcare system, societal acceptance is influenced by cultural norms and traditional institutional practices. Their study analyzed data from a cross-sectional survey of 245 clinic visitors in a suburban Japanese area using multiple linear regression. Results indicated that familiarity with emotional AI was positively correlated with acceptance in both private ($\beta = 0.346$, $p < 0.001$) and public ($\beta = 0.297$, $p < 0.001$) healthcare settings. Conversely, fear of losing control to AI was negatively associated with attitudes toward emotional AI in private ($\beta = -0.262$, $p = 0.002$) and public ($\beta = -0.188$, $p = 0.044$) contexts. Notably, concerns related to privacy and discrimination were not significant predictors of acceptance, a finding that diverges from existing literature. Demographically, the researchers say, older, male participants expressed more negative perceptions of emotional AI.

Furthermore, the economic implications of AI adoption warrant critical examination. While AI-driven solutions have the potential to reduce long-term healthcare costs, the initial investment in technology infrastructure, staff training, and system integration can

be prohibitively high for some institutions, particularly in resource-constrained settings. This raises the risk of creating a two-tiered healthcare system, where affluent regions benefit disproportionately from AI advancements while others are left behind. Research shows that new technological achievements don't just transform industries - they reshape fundamental human behaviors and expectations through persistent digital exposure (Nosrati et al., 2023). In healthcare, this means AI could inadvertently alter patients' most personal health decisions and care expectations. To counteract this, governments and international organizations must prioritize policies that incentivize equitable access to AI technologies, such as subsidies, public-private partnerships, and global funding initiatives.

Another area of contention lies in the realm of data security and patient privacy. The reliance of AI systems on vast amounts of personal health data introduces vulnerabilities that, if not adequately managed, could lead to breaches with severe consequences. Ensuring the confidentiality and integrity of patient data requires not only technological safeguards, but also stringent regulatory frameworks that enforce compliance and accountability. Just as Soroori Sarabi et al. (2023) warn that IT modernization can introduce cybersecurity vulnerabilities if not carefully managed, AI-driven healthcare systems must be implemented with a clear risk mitigation strategy to prevent breaches and operational failures. In this context, fostering public trust becomes paramount, necessitating transparent communication about how AI systems operate and the measures in place to protect sensitive information.

Williamson and Prybutok (2024) reviewed the ethical, legal, and technological challenges associated with integrating artificial intelligence in healthcare, with a primary focus on patient privacy,

decision-making autonomy, and data integrity. Their paper critically analyzed methods for preserving patient confidentiality, emphasizing Differential Privacy, encryption, and mixed-model approaches as pivotal techniques to balance privacy protection with the utility of healthcare data. The review explored the complexities of harmonizing advanced technologies, such as blockchain, with existing regulations, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), highlighting the importance of informed consent and patient rights. Algorithmic bias in healthcare was identified as a significant issue, necessitating effective detection and mitigation strategies to ensure equitable patient outcomes and foster trust in AI-driven systems. The authors underscored the role of decentralized data sharing, regulatory frameworks, and patient agency in shaping the ethical deployment of AI in healthcare. The review advocated for an interdisciplinary, multi-stakeholder approach to AI governance, emphasizing responsive oversight mechanisms to align AI applications with ethical principles and prioritize patient-centered outcomes. The authors concluded that a balanced approach to privacy and progress is essential for achieving responsible and equitable advancements in AI-driven healthcare. Later in this article, we will also delve deeper into the ethical dimensions of incorporating AI in healthcare industry.

The global AI healthcare market continues its rapid growth—projected to increase from $32.3 billion in 2024 to a remarkable sum of $208.2 billion by 2030—and it is clear that these technologies are becoming essential to the future of medical practice. The United States currently dominates the market, with China and other emerging economies showing notable growth trajectories. These developments underscore the necessity of international cooperation in setting standards and sharing best practices to ensure that AI's impact is universally advantageous.

Mamasoliev (2024) analyzed the impact of AI on economic growth in the United States and its global competitiveness. The study aimed to measure the relationship between AI adoption across various industries—including healthcare—and key economic metrics such as GDP growth, productivity, and innovation rates. Employing a mixed-methods strategy, the research combined quantitative analysis of economic reports, industry data, and AI investment trends with qualitative findings from expert interviews and case studies showcasing successful AI implementations.

Mamasoliev's (2024) research found that AI adoption has a substantial positive effect on productivity and innovation in a country's healthcare system, supporting sustained GDP growth. The study identified sectors such as manufacturing, healthcare, and finance as particularly receptive to AI integration, with improvements in efficiency and cost savings driving economic benefits. Additionally, the research emphasized the role of strategic investments in AI as a key factor in maintaining global competitiveness, particularly in light of growing international rivalry from nations with strong AI policies and innovation ecosystems.

The author stressed the importance of continued support for AI research, workforce development, and infrastructure to optimize economic returns. Policy recommendations included promoting public-private partnerships and adjusting regulatory frameworks to balance innovation with ethical and societal concerns. These measures, the study concluded, are crucial for sustaining the United States' position in the global AI landscape.

AI's role in healthcare transcends mere technological advancement, signaling a fundamental transformation in the

conception, provision, and experience of medical services. While this transition presents significant challenges - including ethical concerns and systemic disparities - these obstacles can be overcome through deliberate policy formulation, collaborative stakeholder involvement, and a dedicated focus on equity. Within an AI-enhanced healthcare framework, priority must consistently be given to improving health outcomes for all populations, with special attention to groups traditionally underserved by conventional systems. This approach positions AI as a practical tool for achieving healthcare democratization.

2. The Role of AI in Democratizing Healthcare

AI is transforming the healthcare sector by bridging gaps that have historically marginalized underserved populations. However, elderly populations, as a group with greater needs compared to others in society, require particular attention. They often encounter unique challenges in adopting digital tools, stemming from cognitive limitations and socio-economic barriers. To ensure equitable access, AI-driven healthcare solutions must integrate tailored education programs designed to address these specific obstacles (Sakhaei et al., 2024). This transformation is particularly critical in addressing socio-economic inequities and geographic barriers that limit access to timely and quality healthcare. By leveraging AI technologies, stakeholders in healthcare can enhance accessibility, improve health outcomes, and empower communities that have traditionally been left behind in medical advancements. AI offers scalable solutions that can address the lack of healthcare resources in underserved regions. Virtual health assistants, chatbots, and AI-driven telemedicine platforms are particularly

impactful in rural areas and low-income urban settings. These technologies allow patients to access medical advice and preliminary diagnostics without the need for in-person visits, which are often prohibitively expensive or logistically challenging. For instance, AI systems can provide symptom analysis and recommend next steps, significantly reducing the reliance on physical healthcare facilities for basic medical inquiries (Rubeis et al., 2022).

In resource-constrained regions, AI helps bridge gaps caused by shortages of trained medical personnel. AI-powered diagnostic systems demonstrate proficiency in interpreting radiological images—including X-rays and CT scans—achieving accuracy rates that match or surpass those of human experts. This technological capacity enables primary care facilities to provide sophisticated diagnostic services independently of specialist availability, thereby expanding equitable access to precision medicine (Zeb et al., 2024).

The scalability of AI solutions is one of their most significant advantages in democratizing healthcare. Traditional healthcare delivery models are often constrained by the high costs associated with building infrastructure, hiring skilled personnel, and maintaining operations. AI-driven tools, however, can operate at a fraction of the cost, offering a sustainable alternative. Predictive analytics, for example, allows healthcare systems to anticipate patient needs and allocate resources efficiently, reducing waste and lowering costs (Gowda et al., 2024).

AI's ability to automate routine tasks also alleviates administrative burdens in healthcare facilities, freeing up resources to focus on direct patient care. For example, automated scheduling systems and electronic health record management minimize human intervention in administrative processes, reducing operational

inefficiencies and making healthcare more affordable for both providers and patients. AI technologies empower patients by offering personalized healthcare experiences. Yet, true democratization requires more than technological access—it demands AI literacy frameworks that equip users to interpret, question, and effectively utilize these tools, mirroring broader findings about the interdependence of technical competency and critical engagement in AI systems (Khodabin et al., 2022). Mobile health applications and wearable devices equipped with AI can monitor vital signs, track chronic conditions, and provide real-time health recommendations tailored to individual needs. User engagement strategies, such as gamification mechanics, can further enhance the effectiveness of AI-powered healthcare applications. Features like badges, rewards, and progress tracking motivate patients to adopt healthier behaviors and adhere to treatment plans. By making health management more interactive and engaging, these strategies complement AI's ability to deliver personalized recommendations, ultimately improving patient outcomes (Bagheri et al., 1401 [2023 A.D.]). The experience of the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated the potential of remote solutions, paralleling AI's role in telemedicine and virtual healthcare delivery, which expand access to underserved populations and reduce geographic barriers. However, challenges including infrastructure limitations and accessibility issues should be considered, as shown in remote education during COVID-19 (Mohammadi & Kharazmi, 2021). Similarly, virtual education—especially when framed as part of a holistic learning approach—has been shown to foster environmental awareness, social responsibility, and equitable access, even in geographically or culturally isolated communities (Dastyar et al., 2023).

This personalization is especially beneficial for patients in remote areas who may lack regular access to medical professionals. With AI-driven insights, individuals can take a proactive role in managing their health, reducing the risk of complications and improving overall well-being (Taimoor & Rehman, 2021).

Furthermore, AI enhances patient education by delivering customized health information that is culturally and linguistically appropriate. Much like global communication has been shown to raise public awareness and shape environmentally responsible behaviors (Mousavi & Dariush, 2019), AI-powered communication tools can similarly influence health literacy and promote informed, responsible health practices. This helps overcome communication barriers and ensures that patients from diverse backgrounds can make informed decisions about their care. By fostering greater engagement, AI contributes to improved health literacy and adherence to medical advice.

Artificial intelligence demonstrates significant potential for mitigating systemic healthcare disparities through its capacity to detect and rectify inequities in service delivery. Machine learning algorithms, when trained on comprehensive and diverse datasets, can reveal systemic patterns of discrimination or inadequate care provision within current healthcare systems. These insights empower health policymakers to develop precisely targeted interventions addressing the fundamental drivers of health disparities.

Despite its promise, the deployment of AI in healthcare must be approached cautiously to avoid unintended consequences. One of the primary challenges is algorithmic bias, which arises when AI systems are trained on datasets that fail to represent diverse populations. Such biases can perpetuate existing disparities rather

than eliminate them. Studies show that internalized cultural biases can also pose significant challenges in this process, as demonstrated in studies of self-perception within the Iranian society. These deeply embedded cultural prejudices risk being reinforced by AI models, potentially perpetuating, rather than resolving systemic biases (Sabbar et al., 2023). To ensure equitable outcomes, developers must prioritize diversity in data collection and validation processes.

Another critical issue is the digital divide, as access to AI-driven healthcare solutions often depends on internet connectivity and digital literacy. In rural and low-income areas, limited access to technology can exclude vulnerable populations from the benefits of AI. Bridging this gap requires investments in infrastructure, education, and outreach to ensure that AI technologies are accessible to all. Moreover, data privacy and security concerns must be addressed to build trust in AI systems. Vulnerable populations are often hesitant to share personal health information due to fears of misuse or exploitation. Robust regulatory frameworks and transparent communication are essential to alleviate these concerns and encourage widespread adoption.

The democratization of healthcare through AI is a collective effort that requires collaboration among governments, private organizations, non-profits, and local communities. Public-private partnerships can play a pivotal role in funding and deploying AI technologies in underserved regions. Non-governmental organizations can serve as intermediaries, ensuring that AI solutions are culturally appropriate and aligned with the needs of target populations. This approach aligns with the concept of glocalization, where global innovations merge with local contexts to create meaningful diversity rather than uniformity. By

combining global AI advancements with local adaptations, healthcare systems can better address disparities, while respecting cultural and socio-economic nuances of different communities (Sabbar & Dalvand, 2018). This balanced approach ensures that AI solutions remain both globally innovative and locally relevant, maximizing their impact in diverse healthcare settings.

Involving communities in the design and implementation of AI initiatives is crucial for their success. By engaging local stakeholders, developers can gain insights into the specific challenges and preferences of the populations they aim to serve. This participatory approach fosters trust and ensures that AI technologies are effectively integrated into existing healthcare systems. Just as lifestyle changes driven by modernity have significantly altered residential architectures and domestic life patterns in urban settings (Darvish et al., 2019), shifts in societal values, individualization, and changing expectations around privacy can similarly impact how individuals engage with AI healthcare systems.

AI's contribution to healthcare democratization transcends enhancing access and cost-efficiency; it embodies a transformative shift toward inclusive, patient-centric care delivery. Through AI's analytical and operational capacities, healthcare systems can dismantle longstanding structural barriers, paving the way for universal access to high-quality medical services—irrespective of socioeconomic or geographic constraints (Bjerring & Busch, 2021).

3. Benefits of AI for Underserved Communities

As mentioned earlier in this paper, AI provides a unique opportunity to address systemic gaps in healthcare delivery,

particularly in underserved communities that face persistent barriers, such as limited access to medical infrastructure, affordability constraints, and shortages of skilled healthcare workers. One of the most pressing issues in underserved communities is the lack of proximity to healthcare facilities. AI-powered telemedicine platforms and virtual health assistants bridge this gap by enabling remote consultations and real-time health monitoring. These technologies are especially beneficial in rural or geographically isolated areas, where physical healthcare facilities may be hours or even days away. With AI, patients can receive medical advice, preliminary diagnoses, and even chronic disease management support without leaving their homes, dramatically reducing travel costs and time burdens (Fernandes, 2022).

Additionally, mobile health units equipped with AI diagnostic tools can bring healthcare services directly to these regions. Such units use AI to rapidly process medical data, such as blood tests or imaging scans, enabling immediate feedback and follow-up care. This model ensures that critical healthcare services reach populations that would otherwise remain excluded from the formal healthcare system.

Cost is a significant impediment to healthcare access in underserved communities. AI offers cost-saving solutions by automating routine tasks, optimizing resource allocation, and supporting early intervention strategies. For example, AI systems can predict disease outbreaks and optimize the distribution of limited medical supplies, ensuring that interventions are both timely and efficient. This prevents costly last-minute emergency responses and reduces the financial strain on healthcare systems.

Moreover, AI-driven predictive analytics can identify patients at high risk of developing chronic conditions, allowing for preventive

measures that reduce the long-term costs of care. Preventing advanced-stage illnesses not only lowers individual medical expenses, but also alleviates the broader economic burden on community health systems, freeing up resources for more comprehensive care (Bauskar et al., 2022).

Underserved communities often lack sufficient healthcare professionals, leaving many residents without access to essential services. AI serves as a force multiplier for existing healthcare workers, enabling them to manage larger caseloads without compromising quality. AI tools assist with diagnostics, treatment recommendations, and workflow optimization, empowering less-experienced community health workers to deliver care that aligns with best practices. For example, AI-based decision-support systems guide health workers through complex cases, flagging potential complications and suggesting evidence-based interventions. This reduces reliance on scarce specialists, while ensuring patients receive competent care. In remote settings, AI can act as a virtual specialist, offering second opinions or validating diagnoses, thereby increasing confidence in treatment decisions.

Preventive care is a critical, yet underutilized strategy in underserved areas, where limited resources often focus on acute and emergency care. AI enables a shift from reactive to proactive healthcare models by leveraging data analytics to identify trends and anticipate needs. Wearable devices and mobile health apps track real-time health metrics, such as blood pressure or glucose levels, providing actionable insights to users and healthcare providers. This allows patients to address potential health issues before they escalate into costly and life-threatening conditions (Dogheim & Hussain, 2023).

In addition to individual-level monitoring, AI can analyze community-level health data to identify patterns and prioritize public health interventions. For instance, machine learning algorithms can pinpoint areas at risk of disease outbreaks, guiding vaccination campaigns or health education initiatives tailored to specific needs. Through its emphasis on preventive care, AI alleviates pressure on overwhelmed healthcare systems and enhances community health results. Availability of medical services is frequently limited by poor health understanding in disadvantaged communities, where people may not possess sufficient awareness to comprehend treatment recommendations or manage intricate healthcare processes. Research in organizational settings demonstrates that structured literacy programs can significantly enhance critical evaluation skills and system navigation capabilities (Arsalani et al., 2025). AI-powered tools address this issue by delivering tailored, culturally appropriate health education in multiple languages and formats. In an era where social networks have become an inseparable part of daily life, they hold significant potential for positive use in education, which can, in turn, improve social health. Studies of social network usage patterns demonstrate that while certain types of digital engagement may negatively affect social health, educational and knowledge-sharing activities can have a profoundly positive impact on social health outcomes (Zamani et al., 2021). For instance, AI chatbots can engage patients in their native languages, simplifying medical concepts and answering common questions about symptoms, treatments, and preventive care (Dunn & Hazzard, 2019).

This personalized approach not only enhances understanding, but also builds trust between patients and healthcare providers, encouraging greater engagement with available services. When

patients feel empowered with knowledge, they are more likely to adhere to treatment plans and adopt healthy behaviors, contributing to improved long-term outcomes.

Underserved communities often suffer from systemic inequities, such as unequal access to resources and discriminatory practices in healthcare delivery. AI helps address these disparities by providing objective, data-driven insights that guide equitable decision-making. For example, algorithms trained on diverse datasets can detect patterns of neglect or discrimination, enabling policymakers to implement corrective measures.

AI also facilitates an equitable distribution of healthcare resources. By analyzing demographic, geographic, and socioeconomic data, predictive models can highlight underserved areas most in need of intervention. This ensures that healthcare programs and funding are directed where they can have the greatest impact, closing gaps in care delivery.

AI supports the development of sustainable healthcare systems by improving operational efficiency and reducing waste. In underserved regions, where resources are often scarce, this efficiency is crucial for maintaining service delivery. AI streamlines processes such as inventory management, patient scheduling, and staff allocation, ensuring that healthcare facilities operate smoothly even under constrained conditions. Furthermore, AI fosters collaboration across sectors, enabling governments, non-profits, and private organizations to coordinate efforts in underserved areas. However, we should note that there are some workplace wellness programs that complement AI's role in this regard. These programs emphasize physical and mental health, demonstrate how health-oriented interventions can enhance efficiency, and reduce costs. By mitigating risks such as burnout

and absenteeism, these programs align with AI's potential to improve healthcare outcomes through predictive and preventive care, ensuring that both organizational and patient needs are met effectively (Zamani et al., 2025).

4. Challenges and Risks of AI Integration

The integration of AI in healthcare presents transformative opportunities, yet it also brings forth significant challenges and risks. These complexities must be addressed to ensure that AI enhances healthcare delivery without inadvertently exacerbating existing issues or creating new ones. This section explores the multifaceted risks associated with AI in healthcare, emphasizing areas such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, regulatory hurdles, and the potential dehumanization of care.

One of the most critical challenges in AI integration is algorithmic bias, which arises when AI models are trained on datasets that inadequately represent diverse populations. As mentioned earlier, healthcare data often reflect existing societal disparities, such as unequal access to care or systemic discrimination against marginalized groups. As a result, AI systems may reinforce these inequities rather than mitigating them. For example, diagnostic algorithms trained on predominantly Caucasian datasets may fail to accurately detect conditions in patients with darker skin tones, perpetuating disparities in health outcomes. Studies in specific cultural contexts have shown how societal pressures around physical appearance can lead to significant mental health challenges, highlighting the importance of considering cultural and psychological impacts in healthcare delivery systems (Nosraty et al., 2020).

To combat algorithmic bias, developers must prioritize diversity and inclusivity in data collection and model training. Additionally, rigorous testing and validation processes should be implemented to ensure that AI systems perform equitably across all demographic groups. This requires collaboration among technologists, healthcare professionals, and policymakers to create standards and guidelines that address bias at every stage of the AI lifecycle.

The study by Agarwal et al. (2023) examines the intersection of AI and machine learning (ML) with health disparities, focusing on the challenges of algorithmic bias in healthcare applications. The authors highlight the potential for AI/ML technologies to either mitigate or exacerbate health inequities, particularly racial disparities. They synthesize multidisciplinary research to develop a four-step analytical framework for the design and deployment of AI/ML algorithms in healthcare. This framework identifies key stages where biases can emerge, including data collection, model development, validation, and deployment. The authors emphasize methods for identifying and mitigating bias at each step, such as equitable data sampling and inclusive algorithmic design. Additionally, they outline actionable recommendations to promote fairness and equity in AI/ML practices, underscoring the importance of transparency, accountability, and continuous evaluation of deployed models.

AI systems rely heavily on vast amounts of sensitive health data to function effectively. This dependence raises significant concerns about data privacy and security, particularly as healthcare data breaches become increasingly common. Unauthorized access to personal health information can lead to severe consequences, including identity theft, discrimination, and loss of trust in healthcare institutions.

Moreover, the use of AI introduces complexities in data management, as these systems often require integration across multiple platforms and stakeholders. Ensuring data security in such a fragmented landscape is a formidable challenge. Robust encryption techniques, secure data-sharing protocols, and adherence to data protection regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) are essential to safeguard patient information.

Fostering transparency is equally important. Patients must be informed about how their data is collected, stored, and utilized by AI systems. Clear communication and obtaining informed consent are critical for building trust and encouraging acceptance of AI-driven healthcare solutions.

Keshta (2022) explores the security and privacy challenges associated with AI-driven Internet of Things (AIIoT) systems in the context of smart healthcare. The study highlights the transformative potential of AIIoT technologies, such as Multiple Sensorial Media (MulSeMedia) systems and cloud-based solutions, which have garnered significant interest from stakeholders across healthcare sectors. Employing a qualitative research design, the study synthesizes insights from secondary sources to identify and analyze key issues. Findings reveal that the rapid proliferation of AIIoT devices and sensors has introduced complex security vulnerabilities and heightened privacy concerns. These include risks associated with data breaches, unauthorized access, and inadequate encryption protocols. To address these challenges, the study recommends the development of standardized architectural frameworks that encompass clear interface definitions and robust data models, ensuring enhanced user security and privacy protections.

One of the most profound concerns associated with AI integration is the potential dehumanization of healthcare. The reliance on automated systems may reduce face-to-face interactions between patients and providers, eroding the empathetic and relational aspects of medical care. Studies show that while virtual systems offer technological benefits, removing face-to-face communication can hinder the natural transfer of knowledge and care. The transition to AI-driven healthcare must strike a balance between automation and human interaction, ensuring that technology complements human expertise rather than replacing it (Shahghasemi et al., 2023). Patients often value the human connection in healthcare, which cannot be replicated by AI technologies, no matter how sophisticated these technologies may be. Moreover, the use of AI chatbots and virtual assistants, while efficient, may lead to frustration or dissatisfaction among patients seeking personalized attention. For example, individuals with complex medical needs or emotional distress may find it challenging to communicate their concerns effectively through automated platforms. To mitigate these risks, AI should be positioned as a tool that enhances, rather than replaces human involvement in healthcare. Hybrid models that combine AI-driven insights with compassionate human care can preserve the relational aspects of medicine, while leveraging the benefits of technology.

Akingbola et al. (2024) critically examine the integration of AI in healthcare, emphasizing its dual potential to enhance efficiency and compromise the foundational doctor-patient relationship. While AI systems offer advancements in diagnostics and clinical decision-making, the authors argue that their growing prominence risks depersonalizing patient care. The reliance on data-driven decisions and the opacity of AI's "black-box" algorithms can erode trust and diminish the empathetic, personalized interactions central

to effective medical practice. Furthermore, biases embedded in AI training datasets may exacerbate health inequities, disproportionately affecting underrepresented populations. The authors advocate for AI innovations that complement rather than replace the human elements of care, such as empathy and communication. They call for research focused on transparent, equitable, and patient-centered AI systems that align with the ethical principles of medicine. This perspective underscores the necessity of integrating technological advancements with the compassionate ethos of healthcare to ensure holistic and equitable patient care.

The integration of AI also poses challenges for the healthcare workforce, including concerns about job displacement and the need for upskilling. Effective AI adoption requires more than technical implementation - it demands continuous education programs that develop both analytical competencies and ethical judgment, ensuring professionals can critically evaluate AI outputs while addressing challenges like bias mitigation and accountability (Hosseini et al., 2021). Automation of tasks such as medical imaging analysis and administrative workflows may reduce the demand for certain roles, creating uncertainty and resistance among healthcare professionals. Additionally, the adoption of AI requires significant training and adaptation, as clinicians must learn to interpret AI-generated insights and integrate them into their practice. Education fosters the innovation and adaptability necessary for this process, enabling healthcare professionals to navigate the complexities of AI tools effectively. By prioritizing continuous learning, organizations can ensure that clinicians are equipped to leverage AI for better patient outcomes and operational efficiency. Investing in education is not only a strategic advantage,

but also a critical step in overcoming barriers to AI integration (Zamani et al., 2024). This learning curve can be daunting, particularly for professionals who are less familiar with technology. Resistance to change may further hinder the widespread adoption of AI in healthcare settings.

Healthcare organizations must invest in comprehensive training programs and provide ongoing support to help workers transition to AI-integrated roles. Emphasizing the collaborative nature of AI—where technology augments rather than replaces human expertise—can alleviate fears and foster acceptance. Aghigh et al. (1401 [2022 A.D.]) argue that the efficiency of laws is crucial for societal compliance, emphasizing that laws rooted in formal and substantive principles are more effective in preventing societal issues and fostering trust in regulatory systems. This insight underscores the importance of developing robust, equitable policies to address the challenges posed by AI integration in healthcare.

The successful deployment of AI in healthcare depends on access to digital infrastructure, which is often lacking in underserved areas. Lessons from other sectors reveal parallel challenges: where organizational AI adoption hinges not just on technology, but on workforce literacy, strategic planning, and systemic support—with disparities persisting across institutions and demographic groups without targeted interventions (Khodabin et al., 2023). This digital divide exacerbates existing disparities, as communities without reliable internet connectivity or advanced medical equipment are unable to benefit from AI-driven innovations.

Addressing this challenge requires targeted investments in digital infrastructure and initiatives to improve technological literacy. Public-private partnerships can play a pivotal role in

bridging the gap, ensuring that AI solutions reach marginalized populations and do not inadvertently widen the healthcare accessibility divide.

Kuiler and McNeely (2023) analyze the ethical, equitable, and systemic challenges posed by AI applications in healthcare, focusing on their potential to both reduce and exacerbate disparities. The chapter discusses how AI-enabled systems, integrated with the Internet of Things (IoT), are increasingly central to healthcare governance and policy, offering advanced predictive analytics and interoperable devices that could mitigate health inequities for marginalized populations. However, the authors caution that these technologies can also perpetuate or deepen existing disparities if implemented without careful consideration of socioeconomic and systemic inequities. They emphasize the importance of collaborative governance that combines AI and human agents in a balanced, empathetic manner to address the multifaceted marginalization faced by underserved communities. Additionally, the study calls for regulatory frameworks that extend beyond ethical guidelines for clinicians to encompass the responsibilities of informaticists, developers, and vendors in fostering equitable healthcare delivery. This approach highlights the need for a synergistic model that aligns technological innovation with ethical and equitable health outcomes.

Ultimately, the enduring viability of AI adoption in healthcare remains uncertain. The substantial expenses linked to creating and deploying AI technologies may burden medical budgets, especially in under-resourced environments. Additionally, excessive dependence on AI might foster inattentiveness among medical professionals, potentially diminishing careful scrutiny and analytical reasoning in patient care.

5. Ethical Frameworks for AI in Healthcare

The previous section described challenges that AI-powered healthcare systems might produce. This section explores the key elements of ethical frameworks essential for the responsible use of AI in healthcare.

One of the foundational principles of an ethical AI framework in healthcare is equity. AI systems must be designed and implemented to reduce, rather than exacerbate, existing disparities in healthcare access and outcomes. These disparities are often rooted in systemic inequities, such as injustice and discrimination, which erode trust and social cohesion. Addressing these challenges requires equitable policies and restorative approaches to promote inclusivity and ensure that AI systems do not perpetuate harm (Maleki Borujeni et al., 1401 [2022 A.D.]). Algorithmic bias, as we saw in the previous section, is a critical concern, as models trained on incomplete or unrepresentative datasets can disproportionately disadvantage certain demographic groups. For example, underrepresentation of minority populations in training data can lead to diagnostic inaccuracies, perpetuating systemic inequities.

To address these challenges, ethical frameworks must mandate the inclusion of diverse datasets during the development and validation of AI models. Additionally, continuous monitoring and auditing of AI systems are necessary to identify and mitigate unintended biases. Transparent reporting mechanisms that allow stakeholders to assess the fairness of AI systems should be integral to these frameworks.

The "black box" nature of many AI systems poses significant ethical and practical concerns, as illustrated in the previous section. In healthcare, where decisions can have life-or-death implications,

patients and providers must understand the rationale behind AI-generated recommendations. This underscores a fundamental truth: literacy in new technological advancements is not merely beneficial but essential—it is the safeguard that enables societies to harness innovations without falling victim to their risks. Just as media literacy empowers individuals to critically navigate digital ecosystems and mitigate harms, systemic AI literacy initiatives must equip both clinicians and patients to interrogate, trust, and effectively utilize these tools (Arsalani et al., 2022). Ethical frameworks should require AI systems to prioritize explainability, ensuring that their decision-making processes are transparent and interpretable. For instance, clinicians using AI-assisted diagnostic tools should have access to detailed explanations of how an AI system arrived at a particular conclusion. This not only builds trust in AI systems, but also empowers healthcare providers to make informed decisions. Explainability also supports accountability, as it enables stakeholders to identify and address errors or biases in AI algorithms. This aligns with emerging frameworks for critical AI literacy, which emphasize that truly empowered engagement with algorithmic systems requires: (1) the ability to interrogate AI outputs, (2) understanding of transparency mechanisms, and (3) competencies to navigate ethical trade-offs—all cultivated through multidisciplinary education approaches (Khodabin et al., 2024).

Kempton and Vassilakopoulou (2021) explore the governance challenges associated with implementing AI in healthcare, emphasizing the interrelated concepts of accountability, transparency, and explainability. The study critically reviews existing research in AI governance within healthcare, noting persistent gaps in conceptual clarity and the underexplored relationships between these key principles. The authors observe

that many of the concerns discussed in contemporary literature, such as ethical data management, algorithmic opacity, and system accountability, were already identified in research as early as the 1980s. Despite this longstanding awareness, much of the literature remains conceptual, offering frameworks and guidelines that have yet to undergo rigorous empirical validation. The study emphasizes the need for more empirical investigations to assess the practical applicability and effectiveness of these frameworks in real-world healthcare settings.

The reliance of AI on vast amounts of sensitive health data introduces significant ethical challenges related to privacy and security. Unauthorized access to personal health information can result in harm to patients, including identity theft, discrimination, and loss of trust in healthcare systems. Ethical frameworks must emphasize stringent data protection measures, such as encryption, secure data-sharing protocols, and compliance with regulations like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Additionally, ethical frameworks should advocate for transparent communication with patients about how their data will be collected, stored, and used. Obtaining informed consent is critical to fostering trust and ensuring that patients retain control over their personal information. Sabbar et al. (1398 [2019 A.D.]) highlight a concerning disconnect between researchers' understanding of proper research ethics and their actual implementation in academic practice. This ethical blind spot in academia could have serious implications for AI development in healthcare, particularly when dealing with sensitive patient information and data protection protocols.

As AI systems assume a larger role in healthcare decision-making, questions about accountability and liability become increasingly complex. In cases where an AI system makes an

incorrect recommendation or fails to detect a condition, it is often unclear who should be held responsible—the developer, the healthcare provider, or the institution deploying the AI. Ethical frameworks must establish clear guidelines that delineate responsibilities among these stakeholders. Research has shown how large technology companies can shape academic discourse around data usage, emphasizing the need for ethical frameworks that safeguard independence in the development and evaluation of healthcare AI systems (Sarfi et al., 2021).

One approach is to require rigorous validation and certification of AI systems before they are deployed in clinical settings. Additionally, mechanisms for reporting and addressing adverse events involving AI should be implemented, ensuring that lessons are learned and corrective actions are taken. Ethical frameworks must also promote a culture of shared accountability, where both human and technological actors are held to high standards of performance.

Bottomley and Thaldar (2023) examine the legal complexities surrounding liability for harm caused by AI in healthcare, with a focus on Africa's evolving medical landscape. The study highlights the ambiguity in assigning responsibility, as AI systems become increasingly autonomous. Traditional liability frameworks, such as attributing fault to physicians under principal-agent relationships or applying product liability laws, face significant challenges. The dynamic, learning nature of AI defies static definitions of defects, complicating these approaches. The authors explore alternative frameworks, including risk-based liability, which assesses potential hazards rather than specific faults, and strict liability, which simplifies compensation by focusing on harm rather than blame. However, these approaches raise concerns about economic feasibility, reputational risks, and their universal applicability. The

suggestion of granting legal personhood to AI, while innovative, remains contentious. The article also emphasizes the potential of reconciliation-based frameworks and regulatory sandboxes, which could foster innovation while providing oversight.

The authors advocate for a re-evaluation of traditional legal concepts to address AI's unpredictable nature. They stress the need for further research and international dialogue to balance technological advancements with the legal and ethical imperatives of accountability and protection in healthcare.

Respecting patient autonomy is a cornerstone of medical ethics, and AI systems must be designed to uphold this principle. Ethical frameworks should ensure that AI enhances, rather than undermines, patients' ability to make informed decisions about their care. For example, patients should have access to user-friendly tools that explain AI-generated recommendations in a way that is understandable and actionable. Furthermore, ethical frameworks should advocate for the integration of patient preferences into AI systems. Personalized medicine, a key promise of AI, requires that systems account for individual values and circumstances when making recommendations. By prioritizing patient-centered design, AI can support shared decision-making and improve overall satisfaction with care.

Sehrawat (2023) explores the transformative potential of Generative AI in healthcare, particularly its role in enhancing patient engagement and personalizing care throughout the patient journey. Generative AI is identified as a key technology in creating customized treatment plans, supporting real-time guidance through virtual health assistants, and leveraging predictive analytics to anticipate health issues. By integrating these capabilities, healthcare providers can foster a more collaborative and patient-

centered approach to care. The article highlights how Generative AI enhances the patient experience from diagnosis to post-care support by providing tailored solutions that improve treatment efficacy and streamline healthcare processes. However, the author emphasizes that the adoption of this technology must address significant ethical challenges. Concerns regarding patient privacy, algorithmic transparency, and bias mitigation are critical to ensuring equitable and responsible implementation. Sehrawat (2023) concludes that Generative AI has immense potential to empower patients as active participants in their healthcare journey, but stresses the importance of aligning technological advancements with ethical and regulatory frameworks.

The development and deployment of AI in healthcare involve a wide range of stakeholders, including technology developers, healthcare providers, policymakers, and patients. Ethical frameworks should promote collaborative governance models that incorporate input from all these groups. Such models ensure that diverse perspectives are considered, and that AI systems are aligned with societal values. For instance, ethics committees or advisory boards composed of multidisciplinary experts can provide guidance on the responsible use of AI in healthcare. These bodies can evaluate the potential risks and benefits of AI applications, develop guidelines for ethical use, and monitor compliance with established standards. This approach is strongly supported by research showing that successful AI integration across professional fields depends on balancing technical implementation with robust ethical oversight and stakeholder-responsive training frameworks (Rahmatian & Sharajsharifi, 2021).

Trust is a critical component of successful AI adoption in healthcare. Ethical frameworks should prioritize initiatives that

build public confidence in AI systems. This includes transparent communication about the capabilities and limitations of AI, as well as efforts to dispel misconceptions about its role in healthcare. Media framing significantly influences public perception of healthcare initiatives, and media coverage, especially during health crises, can be influenced by political considerations. This highlights the importance of transparent communication about AI implementation in healthcare systems (Kharazmi & Mohammadi, 2020). Building trust also requires addressing societal concerns about algorithmic bias and data privacy. Key factors in establishing this trust include transparency in AI operations and increasing user familiarity with these systems. Much like patterns observed in media trust studies, public confidence in AI healthcare systems is shaped by multiple elements, including the credibility of AI-generated insights, individual user characteristics, and the overall reliability of the platforms (Sabbar & Hyun, 2015).

Public engagement initiatives, such as workshops and educational campaigns, can also play a vital role in fostering trust. Studies on children's media literacy emphasize the importance of comprehensive education approaches involving both children and parents, suggesting similar inclusive strategies may be necessary for healthcare AI adoption (Hosseini et al., 2025). By involving patients and communities in discussions about AI, stakeholders can address concerns and build a sense of shared ownership over these technologies.

Sîrbu and Mercioni (2024) provide a comprehensive review of the ethical and practical considerations necessary to foster trust in AI-driven healthcare. The paper underscores the critical importance of trust for the effective implementation and acceptance of AI technologies in healthcare systems. Ethical challenges, including

data privacy, informed consent, and algorithmic bias, are identified as significant barriers that could undermine confidence among patients and providers. The authors emphasize the role of transparency, advocating for explainable AI models that enable healthcare professionals to understand and interpret AI-generated recommendations, thus enhancing decision-making and accountability. Practical strategies discussed include robust data management practices, continuous performance monitoring of AI systems, and the engagement of multidisciplinary teams in the design and deployment phases. The paper also highlights the necessity of governance frameworks to ensure compliance with ethical and regulatory standards. Real-world case studies are presented to illustrate both the challenges and the successes of implementing AI in healthcare. Research on technology integration in critical fields shows that successful adoption requires balancing three fundamental imperatives: harnessing transformative potential, maintaining ethical vigilance, and ensuring equitable implementation (Rahmatian & Sharajsharifi, 2022).

The swift advancement of AI necessitates dynamic and proactive ethical guidelines. As innovative technologies evolve, these frameworks should predict possible dilemmas and offer principles for ethical management. Research on technology integration in critical fields shows that successful adoption requires balancing three fundamental imperatives: harnessing transformative potential, maintaining ethical vigilance, and ensuring equitable implementation (Rahmatian & Sharajsharifi, 2022). For instance, the growing application of generative AI in medical contexts introduces unprecedented ethical considerations regarding synthetic data generation and utilization that demand preemptive attention. Such ethical structures should simultaneously

foster progress by establishing transparent, constructive parameters for conscientious innovation.

6. Conclusion

The incorporation of artificial intelligence into healthcare is widely regarded by scholars as a pivotal advancement in tackling structural inequalities, enhancing service accessibility, and increasing system efficiency. As demonstrated throughout this article, AI holds significant promise in addressing crucial deficiencies in healthcare delivery, especially for marginalized populations and in environments with limited resources. By broadening access to health information, supporting early detection, and facilitating individualized treatment, AI fosters greater agency among individuals and communities in managing their well-being. Still, this transformation brings with it a set of complex challenges that must be met through ethical and collaborative strategies.

A key benefit of AI in healthcare is its potential to contain rising costs. Its scalability and economic advantages are already evident in efforts to reduce inefficiencies, promote preventive care, and automate routine administrative functions. These improvements not only raise the standard of care, but also help healthcare systems make more strategic use of resources. For underserved groups, tools such as telehealth platforms, diagnostic software, and mobile health technologies have been vital in addressing barriers related to geography, cost, and availability. This move toward a more inclusive healthcare paradigm contrasts sharply with traditional models that have historically neglected disadvantaged populations.

Yet, the ethical challenges associated with AI are substantial. Concerns about algorithmic bias, data security, and the risk of

depersonalizing care highlight the need for strong governance systems. Such systems must enforce principles of transparency, equity, and accountability throughout AI development and application. If biases in AI models go unaddressed, they risk reinforcing health disparities rather than remedying them. Therefore, inclusive data collection, rigorous testing, and continuous monitoring are essential steps for responsible implementation of AI in healthcare.

Ethical considerations must also extend beyond technical issues to societal dynamics—especially the tension between automation and human oversight. While AI provides powerful analytical tools, it cannot replace the empathy and interpersonal connections that define quality care. Blended approaches that combine AI insights with clinical expertise offer a balanced path forward, ensuring that technological advancements enhance, rather than undermine, the human elements of medicine.

Global inequalities in AI adoption present another pressing concern. High-income countries have quickly integrated AI into their healthcare systems, benefiting from innovations like advanced diagnostics and personalized treatments. Meanwhile, low-resource regions may be excluded due to infrastructural and educational constraints. Addressing this disparity will require targeted investments in technology, training, and cross-sector collaboration. Partnerships between public and private entities, along with global cooperation, are critical to ensuring equitable access to AI-driven healthcare.

Finally, the long-term integration of AI must be approached with sustainability in mind. Although initial implementation may be costly, AI has the potential to drive long-term savings in healthcare spending. However, excessive dependence on

automation could lead to a decline in clinical judgment and professional attentiveness. Ongoing education and skills development will be essential to empower healthcare workers to use AI effectively, while maintaining a high standard of care rooted in critical thinking and patient-centered practice.

References

Agarwal, R., Bjarnadottir, M., Rhue, L., Dugas, M., Crowley, K., Clark, J., & Gao, G. (2023). Addressing Algorithmic Bias and the Perpetuation of Health Inequities: An AI Bias Aware Framework. *Health Policy and Technology, 12*(1), 100702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlpt.2022.100702

Aghigh, S. R., Salehi, K., & Barkhordari, A. (1401 [2022 A.D.]). Nādide engāri-ye bāyeste-hā-ye taqnini dar qavānin-e keifari-ye irān dar hoze-ye jarāyem-e alaih-e amniat [Ignoring the Legal Requirements of Criminal Law in the Field of Crimes Against Security]. *Quarterly Journal of Public Law Knowledge, 11*(38), 104-140. https://doi.org/10.22034/qjplk.2022.1490.1393

AIPRM. (2024). AI in Healthcare Statistics. https://www.aiprm.com/ai-in-healthcare-statistics/

Akingbola, A., Adeleke, O., Idris, A., Adewole, O., & Adegbesan, A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and the Dehumanization of Patient Care. *Journal of Medicine, Surgery, and Public Health, 3,* 100138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glmedi.2024.100138

Arsalani, A., Rahmatian, F., & Hosseini, S. H. (2025). Media Literacy for Business Personnel: *A Strategic Approach for Better Efficiency. Code, Cognition & Society, 1*(1), 1-28. https://doi.org/10.22034/ccsr.2025.526844.1000

Arsalani, A., SaeidAbadi, M. R., & Abasiyan, E. (2024). *Presenting the Model of Earning Income through Fame on Instagram in Iran. Society Culture Media, 13*(52), 113-142. https://doi.org/10.22034/scm.2024.466207.1793

Arsalani, A., Sakhaei, S., & Zamani, M. (2022). ICT for Children: *The Continuous Need for Media Literacy*. *Socio-Spatial Studies*, *6*(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.22034/soc.2022.211944

Bagheri, M., Saeedabadi, M. R., & Sabar, S. (1401 [2023 A.D.]). Asār-e mekānik-hā-ye bāzivārsāzi bar dargiri-ye kārbarān [The Effects of Gasification Mechanics on User Engagement]. *Management Studies in Development and Evolution*, *31*(106), 135-154. https://doi.org/10.22054/jmsd.2022.63446.4027

Bauskar, S. R., Madhavaram, C. R., Galla, E. P., Sunkara, J. R., & Gollangi, H. K. (2022). Predicting Disease Outbreaks Using AI and Big Data: A New Frontier in Healthcare Analytics. *European Chemical Bulletin*. https://doi.org/10.53555/ecb.v11:i12.17745

Bjerring, J. C., & Busch, J. (2021). Artificial Intelligence and Patient-centered Decision-making. *Philosophy & Technology*, *34*, 349-371. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-019-00391-6

Bottomley, D., & Thaldar, D. (2023). Liability for Harm Caused by AI in healthcare: An Overview of the Core Legal Concepts. *Frontiers in Pharmacology, 14*, 1297353. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1297353

Darvish, A., Dastyar, F., & Dariush, B. (2019). The Phenomenon of Lifestyle and the Architecture of Apartments in Iran Case Study: The Apartments in District 9, Tehran. *Socio-Spatial Studies*, *3*(5), 78-84. https://doi.org/10.22034/soc.2019.84455

Dastyar, M., Dariush, B., & Dastyar, F. (2023). Virtual Education, A Complementary Element of the Puzzle of Holistic Education in The Relationship Between Humans and Environment or Iranian Citizens. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies*, *7*(2), 237-252. https://doi.org/10.22059/jcss.2023.356702.1088

Dogheim, G. M., & Hussain, A. (2023). Patient Care Through AI-driven Remote Monitoring: Analyzing the Role of Predictive Models and Intelligent Alerts in Preventive Medicine. *Journal of Contemporary Healthcare Analytics*, *7*(1), 94-110. https://publications.dlpress.org/index.php/jcha/article/view/20

Dunn, P., & Hazzard, E. (2019). Technology Approaches to Digital Health Literacy. *International Journal of Cardiology*, *293*, 294-296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.06.039

Fernandes, J. G. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Telemedicine. In *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine* (pp. 1219-1227). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Gowda, D., Chaithra, S. M., Gujar, S. S., Shaikh, S. F., Ingole, B. S., & Reddy, N. S. (2024). Scalable AI Solutions for IoT-based Healthcare Systems using Cloud Platforms. In *2024 8th International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud)(I-SMAC)* (pp. 156-162). IEEE.

Ho, M. T., Le, N. T. B., Mantello, P., Ho, M. T., & Ghotbi, N. (2023). Understanding the Acceptance of Emotional Artificial Intelligence in Japanese Healthcare System: A Cross-sectional Survey of Clinic Visitors' Attitude. *Technology in Society*, *72*, 102166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.102166

Hosseini, S. H., Khodabin, M., Soroori Sarabi, A., & Sharifi Poor Bgheshmi, M. S. (2021). Artificial Intelligence and Disaster Risk Management: *A Need for Continuous Education. Socio-Spatial Studies*, *5*(1), 13-29. https://doi.org/10.22034/soc.2021.219422

Hosseini, S. H., Nosraty, N., & Tomraee, S. (2025). Children, Healthy Lifestyle and Media Literacy. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies*, *9*(1), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.22059/jcss.2024.387609.1120

Jamali, K., Salehi, K., & Chorami, M. (1401 [2022 A.D.]). Moqāyese-ye tip-hā-ye čāhārgāne-ye šaxsiyati (A ،B ،C & D) dar nojavānān-e bezehkār va 'ādi [A Comparison on Four Personality Types (A, B, C And D) in Criminal and Normal Adolescents]. *Medical Journal of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences*, *65*(5), https://doi.org/10.22038/mjms.2022.68650.4077

Johnson, K. B., Wei, W. Q., Weeraratne, D., Frisse, M. E., Misulis, K., Rhee, K., Zhao, J., & Snowdon, J. L. (2021). Precision Medicine, AI, and the Future of Personalized Health Care. *Clinical and Translational Science*, *14*(1), 86-93. https://doi.org/10.1111/cts.12884

Kempton, A. M., & Vassilakopoulou, P. (2021). *Accountability, Transparency & Explainability in AI for Healthcare*. 8th International Conference on Infrastructures in Healthcare, European Society for Socially Embedded Technologies (EUSSET). https://doi.org/10.18420/ihc2021_018

Keshta, I. (2022). AI-driven IoT for Smart Health Care: Security and Privacy Issues. *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, *30*,100903. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imu.2022.100903

Kharazmi, Z., & Mohammadi, S. (2020). Persian-Language Media Overseas as the Western Tools of Public Diplomacy: Framing COVID-19 Pandemics in Iran by VOA and BBC. *Journal of World Sociopolitical Studies*, *4*(1), 1-36. https://doi.org/10.22059/wsps.2020.308749.1171

Khodabin, M., Sharifi Poor Bgheshmi, M. S., & Movahedzadeh, F. (2024). Critical AI Literacy: Preparing Learners for Algorithmic Societies. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies, 8*(2), 371-397. https://doi.org/HYPERLINK"https://doi.org/10.22059/jcss.2024.102582" 10.22059/JCSS.2024.102582

Khodabin, M., Sharifi Poor Bgheshmi, M. S., Piriyaei, F., & Zibaei, F. (2022). Mapping the Landscape of AI Literacy: An Integrative Review. *Socio-Spatial Studies, 6*(1), 51-61. https://doi.org/10.22034/soc.2022.223715

Khodabin, M., Zibaei, F., & Piriyaei, F. (2023). AI literacy and Digital Readiness in Iranian Media. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies, 7*(2), 299-320. https://doi.org/HYPERLINK "https://doi.org/10. 22059/jcss.2025.396155.1166" 10.22059/JCSS.2025.396155.1166

Kuiler, E. W., & McNeely, C. L. (2023). Panopticon Implications of Ethical AI: Equity, Disparity, and Inequality in Healthcare. In *AI Assurance: Towards Trustworthy, Explainable, Safe, and Ethical AI* (pp. 429–451). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-32-391919-7.00026-3

Maleki Borujeni, N., Jazayeri, S. A., & Salehi, K. S. (1401 [2022 A.D.]). Barrasi-ye ānvā'-e āsār-e jormšenāsi dar jāme'e bā ruykard-e hoquq-e keyfari [Investigating the Types of Criminological Effects in Society with the Approach of Criminal Law]. *Political Sociology Research, 5*(9), 740-752. https://doi.org/10.30510/psi.2022.292909.1927

Mamasoliev, S. (2024). Impact of Artificial Intelligence on US Economic Growth and Global Competitiveness. American *Journal of Business Management, 3*(3), 82-91. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13983822

Mohammadi, S., & Kharazmi, Z. (2021). The Remote Higher Education over COVID-19 Pandemic: The Case Study of Provisions and Priorities of the University of Tehran's Official Website. *Journal of World Sociopolitical Studies, 5*(2), 255-294. https://doi.org/10.22059/wsps.2022.335432.1253

Mousavi, S. Y., & Dariush, B. (2019). The Effect of Communication Globalization on Citizen's Tendencies Towards Environment Protection: Case Study of Tehran Citizens. *Socio-Spatial Studies*, *3*(5), 66-77. https://doi.org/10.22034/soc.2019.84454

Nosraty, N., Sakhaei, S., & Rezaei, R. (2021). The Impact of Social Media on Mental Health: A Critical Examination. *Socio-Spatial Studies*, *5*(1), 101-11. https://doi.org/10.22034/soc.2021.212042

Nosraty, N., Tomraee, S., & Zamani, M. (2020). Beauty Business in Iran: Does Beauty Make You Healthy?. *Socio-Spatial Studies*, *4*(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.22034/soc.2020.211920

Nosrati, S., Sabzali, M., Arsalani, A., Darvishi, M., & Aris, S. (2023). Partner Choices in the Age of Social Media: Are There Significant Relationships between Following Influencers on Instagram and Partner Choice Criteria?. *Revista De Gestão E Secretariado*, *14*(10), 19191-19210. http://doi.org/10.7769/gesec.v14i10.3022

Rahmatian, F., & Sharajsharifi, M. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in MBA Education: Perceptions, Ethics, and Readiness among Iranian Graduates. *Socio-Spatial Studies*, *5*(1), 31-42. https://doi.org/10.22034/soc.2021.223600

Rahmatian, F., & Sharajsharifi, M. (2022). Reimagining MBA Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: A Meta-Synthesis. *Socio-Spatial Studies*, *6*(1), 43-50. https://doi.org/10.22034/soc.2022.223610

Rubeis, G., Dubbala, K., & Metzler, I. (2022). "Democratizing" Artificial Intelligence in Medicine and Healthcare: Mapping the Uses of an Elusive Term. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *13*, 902542. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.902542

Sabbar, S., & Dalvand, S. (2018). Semiotic Approach to Globalization: Living in a World of Glocal Things. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies*, *2*(1), 75-88. https://doi.org/10.22059/jcss.2017.232442.1004

Sabbar, S., & Hyun, D. (2015). What Do We Trust? A Study on Credibility of New and Old Media and Relations with Medium, Content and Audience Characteristics. *New Media Studies*, *1*(4), 205-247. https://doi.org/10.22054/cs.2016.5733

Sabbar, S., Masoomifar, A., & Mohammadi, S. (1398 [2019 A.D.]). ānjā ke nemidānim čegune axlāqi bāšim; pajuheši dar mizān-e āgāhi bar masādiq-e serqat-e Ma'navi [Where We Don't Know How to Be Ethical; A Research on Understanding Plagiarism]. *Journal of Iranian Cultural Research*, *12*(3), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.22035/jicr.2019.2243.2747

Sabbar, S., Mohammadi, S., & Ghasemi Tari, Z. (2023). Beyond Territorial Colonization: A Study of Orientalist Self-Perceptions mong Iranians. *Journal of World Sociopolitical Studies*, *7*(4), 609-644. https://doi.org/10.22059/wsps.2024.371527.1410

Sakhaei, S., Soroori Sarabi, A., & Alinouri, S. (2024). Teaching IT Use to Elderly: A Media Literacy Solution. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies*, *8*(2), 295-316. https://doi.org/10.22059/jcss.2024.101608

Sarfi, M., Darvishi, M., Zohouri, M., Nosrati, S., & Zamani, M. (2021). Google's University? An Exploration of Academic Influence on the Tech Giant's Propaganda. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies*, *5*(2), 181-202. https://doi.org/10.22059/JCSS.2021.93901

Sehrawat, S. K. (2023). Empowering the Patient Journey: The Role of Generative AI in Healthcare. *International Journal of Sustainable Development Through AI, ML and IoT*, *2*(2), 1–18. https://ijsdai.com/index.php/IJSDAI/article/view/43

Shahghasemi, E., Sabbar, S., Zohouri, M., & Sabzali, M. (2023). New Communication Technologies and the Demise of 'Natural'Education. In *Digitalization and Society Symposium, Istanbul*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374841931_NEW_COMMUNICATION_TECHNOLOGIES_AND_THE_DEMISE_OF_'NATURAL'_EDUCATION

Sîrbu, C. L., & Mercioni, M. A. (2024). Fostering Trust in AI-driven Healthcare: A Brief Review of Ethical and Practical Considerations. *2024 International Symposium on Electronics and Telecommunications (ISETC)*, Timisoara, Romania. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISETC63109.2024.10797264

Soroori Sarabi, A., Zamani, M., Ranjbar, S., & Rahmatian, F. (2023). Innovation – But with Risk: The Strategic Role of IT in Business Risk Management. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies*, *7*(2), 253-275. https://doi.org/10.22059/jcss.2023.101605

Taimoor, N., & Rehman, S. (2021). Reliable and Resilient AI and IoT-based Personalised Healthcare Services: A Survey. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 535-563. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3137364

Williamson, S. M., & Prybutok, V. (2024). Balancing Privacy and Progress: A Review of Privacy Challenges, Systemic Oversight, and Patient Perceptions in AI-driven Healthcare. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(2), 675. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14020675

Zeb, S., Nizamullah, F. N. U., Abbasi, N., & Fahad, M. (2024). AI in Healthcare: Revolutionizing Diagnosis and Therapy. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Arts*, *3*(3), 118-128. https://doi.org/10.47709/ijmdsa.v3i3.4546

Zamani, M., Hosseini, S, H., & Rahmatian, F. (2024). The Role of Education in Successful Business Management. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies*, *8*(2), 317-346. https://jcss.ut.ac.ir/article_101609_e9a9b38d091dc6ad46de1f01839d6f8d.pdf

Zamani, M., Nosraty, N., & Soroori Sarabi, A. (2025). Towards a Business Healthy Lifestyle: Reducing Risks While Increasing Efficiency?. *Code, Cognition & Society, 1*(1), 29-58. https://doi.org/10.22034/ccsr.2025.526977.1001

Zamani, M., Nourbakhsh, Y., & Nayebi, H. (2021). Erā'e-ye olgu jahat-e erteqā-ye salāmat-e ejtemā'I az tariq-e šabake-hā-ye ejtemā'I (mored-e motāle'e: šabake-ye ejtemā'i-ye instāgrām [Presenting a Pattern for Promoting Social Health Through Social Networks (Case Study: Instagram Social Network)]. *New Media Studies*, *7*(28), 1-42. https://doi.org/10.22054/nms.2022.63698.1277