New Approaches in Exercise Physiology (NAEP) Vol. 6, No.11, June 2024 www.nass.atu.ac.ir DOI: 10.22054/nass.2025.88048.1179



Accuracy of Graded Exercise Test and Alternative Protocols in Predicting O Pmax

Asghar Abassi 🕒

Rehabilitation Clinical Trials Center, Division of Respiratory and Critical Care Physiology and Medicine, The Lundquist Institute for Biomedical Innovation at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA, USA.

Sirwan Mohammad Amini Khayat ^{D-} Department of Exercise Physiology, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran.

بر تال جامع علوم ان افی

Corresponding Author: sobakvalta@gmail.com

How to Cite: Abassi, A, & Mohammad Amini Khayat, S. (2024). Accuracy of Graded Exercise Test and Alternative Protocols in Prednntnn PPPmxx, *Journal of New Approaches in Exercise Physiology*, 6(11), 237-245.

DOI: 10.22054/nass.2025.88048.1179

We were very interested in the recent research focused on evaluating cardiovascular performance in young populations. The maximal oxygen luls e OPPxxx .. caaauaatea as the ratio o. peak oxygen uktkke Voppea)) to peaf heart rate HR peak), has become an important, noninvasive marker for assessing cardiopulmonary function (Wasserman, K., et al., 2012). Nevertheless, direct measurement through cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) is often impractical for largescale studies (Fletcher, G. F., et al., 2013), highlighting the need for reliable estimation methods. Although several such protocols are available for adults (Lang, J. J., et al., 2018), their accuracy in adolescents—who experience significant physiological changes—has not been thoroughly validated. Our study sought to address this by coaaar aag mmmax estiaate s dervved from one bbbobtory-based and three field-based exercise tests against a standard reference in adolescent males. We conducted a cross-sectional study involving 60 healthy adolescent boys (mean age 16.05 ± 0.81 years). Participants underwent four exercise tests in a randomized order: a Graded Exercise Test (GXT) on a treadmill, two cycle ergometer tests (PWC195 and PWC212) (McMurray, R. G., et al., 2014), and a 1-mile run (Table 1). The Cooper protocol served as the criterion measure for comparison. mmmax4aa s nattutt tett or each test as thetrctroiof estiaate d OOppeak (using validated, protocol-specific regression equations (George, J. D., et al., 1993; Cooper, K. H., 1968) to measured HRpeak. We analyzed the alsol ute mean dennntnonson each crotocods Pxxx estiaate froe the Cooper criterion and used binomial tests to identify systematic bias (over- or underestimation).

Table 1. Absolute mean deviations of maximal oxygen pulse estimates from the Cooper criterion protocol

Protocol	Mean±SD
Cooper-GXT (ml/beat)	1.59 ± 0.90
Cooper-PWC 212 (ml/beat)	3.80 ± 1.82
Cooper-PWC 195 (ml/beat)	3.12 ± 1.67
Cooper-1 mile (ml/beat)	2.49±1.42

Our results revealed significant differences in the accuracy of the protocols (Table 2). The GXT protocol demonstrated the closest agreement with the Cooper criterion, showing the smallest mean absolute deviation (1.59 \pm 0.90 ml/beat) and, crucially, no significant systematic bias (p=0.519). In stark contrast, the cycle ergometer protocols showed substantial underestimation. The PWC212 protocol had the largest mean deviation (3.80 \pm 1.18 ml/beat), followed by the PWC195 protocol (3.12 \pm 1.67 ml/beat); both significantly udderestiaate d mmmax <<<111111or.oth.. .he 1-mile run field test also sgeccccltl y udderestiaate d mmmax mman de:::t:o:: 99999 2222 ml/beat, p=0.003). 2 e suggest that the XXT crotococ's suceriority stems from its progressive, individualized treadmill design, which promotes a more natural running pattern and allows adolescents to fully express their cardiopulmonary capacity.

Table 2. Analysis of systematic bias (overestimation/underestimation) in exercise protocols compared to the Cooper criterion.

Protocol	Underestimation (n)	Overestimation (n)	p-value
1-mile run	42	18	0.003
PWC195	54	6	< 0.001
PWC212	54	6	< 0.001
GXT	33	27	0.519

n: number of subjects; GXT: graded exercise test; PWC: physical work capacity 212 and or 195 test

Its regression model, which includes variables such as body weight and cardiac output, seems particularly appropriate for this age group (George, J. D., et al., 1993). A key observation is the consistent underestimation by the PWC protocols, which we mainly attribute to the very high target heart rates (195 and 212 bpm) they require. As noted by Wasserman et al., stroke volume in adolescents reaches a plateau at submaximal exercise intensities (Wasserman, K., et al., 2012). To reach these elevated heart rates, increases in cardiac output rely praaarlly on tachycaraaa. Because mmmax is caaauaatea as OOppeak iiii ded by RRpea,, an uausuaaw hgsh pppe ak revvtvveto OOppeak aath eaataaa aa. aeads to a wowe, udderestiaate d PPP aa.ue. Additionally, the substantial mechanical workloads (~180 Watts) necessary to attain these heart rates on a cycle ergometer likely cause localized muscle fatigue, which may impair peripheral oxygen extraction (arteriovenous oxygen difference) and further contribute to the underestimation (Rowland, T. W., 2018; Castro-Piñero, J., et al., 2020). The underestimation by the 1-mile run, a validated test for

preggggggg pæak (Cooper, K. H., 1968), suggests that it may often be a submaximal effort for estimating a true maximal parameter like mmmax. The caraaoaasauaar stress eiicited aa y not coisi steltl 1 reach peak levels in all individuals, leading to an underestimation of the peak oxygen pulse (Armstrong, N., & Barker, A. R., 2011; Stringer, W. W., et al., 2018). Our findings have direct practical implications. For researchers and clinicians seeking the most accurate estimate of mmmax nn acocescent llle s iithout iire ct CPET, a progressive treadmill test like the GXT protocol is strongly recommended over high-intensity cycle tests or submaximal field runs. The systematic error introduced by the latter protocols could lead to misinterpretations of cardiovascular health and function in this population.

A limitation of our study is the use of predictive equations rather than direct gas analysis to deterii ne OOppea.. wowever, this gethogogogg is standard for comparative validation studies of field tests and does not detract from the relative differences we observed between protocols. Our sample was also limited to healthy boys, and further research is needed to confirm these findings in females and clinical populations.

In conclusion, this study provides novel evidence that the exercise protocol chosen significantly impacts the estimation of maximal oxygen pulse in adolescents. The treadmill-based GXT protocol offers a more valid and unbiased estimate compared to high-intensity cycle ergometer tests or a 1-mile run. This underscores the importance of careful test selection for accurately evaluating cardiovascular efficiency in adolescent males.

Sincerely,

SM. Amini

ORCID

Reference

- Wasserman, K., Hansen, J. E., Sue, D. Y., Stringer, W. W., & Whipp, B. J. (2012). Principles of exercise testing and interpretation: including pathophysiology and clinical applications (5th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- George, J. D., Vehrs, P. R., Allsen, P. E., Fellingham, G. W., & Fisher, A. G. (1993). Development of a submaximal treadmill jogging test for fit college-aged individuals. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 25(5), 643-647.
- Cooper, K. H. (1968). A means of assessing maximal oxygen intake. JAMA, 203(3), 201-204.
- Lang, J. J., et al. (2018). A systematic review of field-based cardiorespiratory fitness tests in children and adolescents. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 21(1), 93-102.
- Rowland, T. W. (2018). Oxygen uptake efficiency Slope in Children: A Review. Pediatric Exercise Science, 30(2), 157-164.
- Armstrong, N., & Barker, A. R. (2011). Endurance training and aerobic fitness in young people. In N. Armstrong & A. M. McManus (Eds.), the elite young athlete (Vol. 56, pp. 59-83). Karger Publishers.
- Stringer, W. W., et al. (2018). The oxygen uptake efficiency slope: what do we know?. Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention, 38(2), 70-77.
- Fletcher, G. F., et al. (2013). Exercise standards for testing and training: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation, 128(8), 873-934.
- McMurray, R. G., Soares, J., Caspersen, C. J., & McCurdy, T. (2014). Examining variations of resting metabolic rate of adults: a public health perspective. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 46(7), 1352-1358.

Castro-Piñero, J., et al. (2020). Criterion-related validity of fieldbased fitness tests in youth: a systematic review. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 54(5), 284-291.



Corresponding Author: sobakvalta@gmail.com

How to Cite: Abassi, A, & Mohammad Amini Khayat, S. (2024). Accuracy of Graded Exeriise i est nnd Alternative Protocols nn Prednntnn Pmax, Journll of New Approaches in Exercise Physiology, 6(11), 237-245.

DOI: 10.22054/nass.2025.88048.1179



New Approaches in Exercise Physiology © 2024 by Allameh Tabataba'i University is licensed under Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International

