# Effectiveness Function of Entrepreneurial Outsourcing Regarding Bureaucratic Culture Themes in Golestan Gas Company

Mahmoodreza Cheraghali[a*],Mohammad Tamjidi Farahbakhsh [b], Parviz Saeidi[c], Majid Nasiri[d]

[a]*Assistant Professor, Department of Management and Economics, Golestan University, Gorgan, Iran Email: cheragaliim@yahoo.com*

[b]*Ph.D. Candidate, Entrepreneurship, Organizational Orientation, Department of Management, Aliabad Katoul Branch, Islamic Azad University, Aliabad Katoul, Iran.*

[c]*Associate Professor, Department of Management, Aliabad Katoul Branch, Islamic Azad University, Aliabad Katoul, Iran.*

[d]*Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Aliabad Katoul Branch, Islamic Azad University, Aliabad Katoul, Iran.*

## ARTICLE INFO

## ABSTRACT

Entrepreneurial outsourcing is a new theory in the field of entrepreneurship and is a tool for sharing the risks and risks of entrepreneurial investment. This concept is considered as one of the macro-functional dimensions of companies at the content level, which can achieve greater integration and effectiveness by creating coordination with the dimensions of organizational culture. In fact, the importance of entrepreneurship in today's economy is the basis for development and sustainability in the face of constant environmental change, and developed countries seek to increase the level of competitive performance by building a link between the cultural dimensions of the organization and entrepreneurship. The purpose of this research is choosing the effectiveness function of entrepreneurial outsourcing under themes of bureaucratic culture in Golestan Gas Company by Analysis CARD and Developed Theory of Rough (ERST). The statistical population of the study included two qualitative and quantitative sections, in which 14 experts in the fields of management and entrepreneurship participated in the form of panel members and in the quantitative section, 25 managers and deputies in different layers of the gas company in Golestan province also participated. The basis of the analysis in this study was content analysis in order to identify the functional components of entrepreneurial outsourcing and propositions of bureaucratic culture themes. Raff's analysis set was also used for matrix analysis to identify the most important stimulus for promoting entrepreneurial outsourcing in the first section, and in the second section to select the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function under the

---

* Corresponding author

theme of bureaucratic culture. The results showed that the most important proposition of bureaucratic culture is the proposition of codified systems and the most effective function of entrepreneurial outsourcing in Golestan Gas Company was strategic.

# 1. Introduction

One of the most important achievements of the traditional paradigm of public administration was the mere focus on bureaucracy as a structural basis that paid special attention to authority and behavioral standardization, and so on. Contrary to this paradigm, many critics, without denying all the positive effects of the traditional paradigm, developed the management-oriented dimension to create a new paradigm for public administration called modern government management. The stark difference between the new management paradigm and the traditional paradigm was the difference in market-based approaches and entrepreneurial management (Forouharfar, 2020). In fact, modern government management theorists have focused on the organization's ways of interacting with the market, criticizing the mere emphasis on hierarchy and ignoring the private sector. But the main problem was the formation of a culture that contrasts with the new paradigm approach, because the structure, strategies, etc. have a long-standing relationship with culture and not changing one section alone can not lead the organization to a new paradigm. Therefore, creating alignment between cultural dimensions and even bureaucratic cultural dimensions can help increase the effectiveness of the company's macro functions in the external environment (Volkova & Chiker, 2020). Therefore, many researchers such as Gayarre et al (1992); Molnar (2018) and Santana (2017) Organizational culture was defined as a miniature of the macro processes of the organizational environment and confirmed the connection between the cultural dimensions of the organization and new approaches to modern government management such as entrepreneurship. In fact, recognizing and evaluating the culture of an organization as a platform for organizational change plays a key role in the success of organizations. Culture in an organization is the personality in a human being that forms the basis of its existence and causes the organization and cohesion of the organization in moving towards the goals. Because organizational culture shapes the behavior of members of the organization, including

employees and managers at different levels, it can significantly affect the organization's ability to create change and strategic orientation (Moghimi, 2010). Without an effective organizational culture, one can not expect entrepreneurial activities, especially entrepreneurial outsourcing functions, because the cultural context as an underlying factor or even the basis of other necessary platforms for entrepreneurship, requires profound changes in the knowledge of beliefs, rights, customs, Customs and ethics are in an organization (Hosini and Baddast, 2011). Outsourcing is a tool to reduce and divide the risks and risks of investing in businesses, especially in a competitive environment. A company can outsource various business activities by selecting different contractors and develop its entrepreneurial functions (Banerjee et al, 2019). In this way, while reducing costs and using the capital and manpower of other companies, it also reduces risks such as the risk of technological disability, technical knowledge and skills, in addition, enables the company to outsource to keep future costs low by choosing the most competitive bidding contract (Bruccoleri et al, 2019). It should be noted that the alignment of bureaucratic culture in structures with a dual nature of public and private, such as state-owned companies, has different and sometimes multidimensional dimensions than other organizational cultures in structures with a state nature (Sepehvand et al, 2019). Because state-owned companies are market-based in nature, such as monetization, they are structurally subordinate to the government and report under the supervision and accountability of the cabinet. In this situation, state-owned companies such as the gas company must receive the necessary funds based on the services they provide to customers, which is why the structure suffers from a kind of dual conflict in content and performance. Often, organizational culture in such structures as a bureaucratic culture seeks to increase values of standardization and control. But on the other hand, the development of effective functions in order to reduce the pressure on the government to reduce the scope, must part of its innovative activities under objectives such as agility; Efficiency; Reduce costs and ... outsource to pursue the

goals of the market sector, which are the nature of companies in a competitive market environment. Therefore, this study, understanding this issue and the nature of bureaucratic culture in these structures, seeks to select the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing functions through Rough analysis.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1. Bureaucratic Culture

Organizational culture in a harmonious definition, a unique pattern of values; It is a common institutionalized norms and procedures among the people of a society such as the organization, which leads to coherent activities such as sociable behavior, interpersonal interactions, organizational effectiveness, etc., and gradually forms symbols for the people of an organization to follow (Karakasnaki et al, 2019). Bureaucratic culture is seen as a unique feature of government organizations. The generic features of the

bureaucratic culture are: management style is relatively authoritarian, a high degree of control, top-down communication, individuals search for stability, limited initiatives, and centralized decision making (Claver et al., 1999). Contrary to empowering leadership that facilitates in empowered work environments by enabling and encouraging workers in their work roles, including supporting, coaching, informing, and develop the participative decision-making to enhances the meaning and significance of work (Raub & Robert, 2010). Jogulu (2010) confirmed the organizational culture related to leadership style, and there are significant differences between leadership style and culture of the group. In a specific organizational culture dimension, Taormina (2008) has found that leader behaviors to be more control oriented in bureaucratic culture. This indicates that the bureaucratic culture is in line with the behavior of control-oriented leaders.



**Figure 1:** Consequences of bureaucratic culture

In developing these dimensions, Siswadi (2012) states that solidarity among the members of the organization and increasing the level of identity awareness among the members of the organization can strengthen the level of emotions in greater commitment to the goals and strategies of the organization.

## 2.2. Entrepreneurial outsourcing

Outsourcing is a decision taken by an organization to provide or sell its assets, human resources and services to a third party, which the contractor must undertake to provide or manage the assets and services listed in the contract in return for a specified income and in a given time (Embleton and Wright, 1998; Javalgi et al., 2009). Theoretical concept of outsourcing refers to the transaction cost theory (Coase, 1937; Williamson, 1979).

In this regard, outsourcing as a "make-or-buy" decision refers to the attempts implementing to acquire services from external providers or to handle internal functions with the help of another firms (Grover et al., 1994; Kalaignanam and Varadarajan, 2012). Rather, it can be defined as accomplishing firms' internal tasks by third elements (commercial and service companies outside the organization), building systematic and purposeful collaboration with external partners in order to buy services or share tasks and responsibilities (Willems and Van Dooren, 2011; Yeboah, 2013). Outsourcing can be as delivery of services or tools for organizations. It can also occur in the case of a manufacturing or service organization. The important thing about outsourcing service organizations is that the vital and important activities of the service organizations should be outsourced scrupulously and carefully, because the nature of these organizations is based on their services and any wrongdoing in outsourcing and reducing the effectiveness of the activities could undermine the nature of goals in the organization (Ndubisi, 2013). Although there are different theories in the concept of outsourcing, the logic of these definitions are the same and they generally carry the same meaning. The main theories of outsourcing can be cited as follows:

In line with Kakumanu and Portanova (2006), outsourcing is in fact a fundamental change in the structure of tomorrow's international organizations pointing out that there is no more necessity for big companies, governmental agencies, hospitals and major universities - to employ large number of people. Such institutions become organizations that gain excellent revenues and dependable results, because they only concentrate on what they are assigned to do, and do things that are exactly related to their organizational goals. They do things that are in the scope of their activities and they are familiar with the intricacies. Other service of such organizations entrusted to external entities. Van Nattem & Proveniers (2012) Outsourcing has been defined as a way of exploiting sustainable competitive advantage, innovation and organizational leadership through outsourcing, and defines entrepreneurial outsourcing as a multidimensional structure based on intermittent and sustainable valuation techniques. Based on the theoretical foundations, the research questions are:

1. What are the most important propositions of bureaucratic culture themes based on the process of gray hierarchical analysis?

2. What are the most important components of entrepreneurial outsourcing based on the Gray Hierarchical Analysis process?

3. What are the most effective functions of entrepreneurial outsourcing under the themes of bureaucratic culture in Golestan Province Gas Company based on Decision Tree Analysis (CARD) and Developed Rough Theory (ERST)?

## 3. Methodology

Since each study is defined based on three dimensions of research results, objectives, and type of data, the study was developmental in terms of results. This is because the concept of entrepreneurial outsourcing effectiveness regarding bureaucratic culture themes theoretically have no coherent framework and integrated theoretical structure and the present study was to contribute to the development of the theoretical foundations of this concept in promoting the entrepreneurial outsourcing effectiveness. Considering the research objective, the study was descriptive as it aimed to explain the concept in the target population. Finally, regarding the rationale of data collection, it was inductive-deductive since, relying on inductive approaches, the qualitative part first examined the theoretical foundations of the bureaucratic culture themes and entrepreneurial outsourcing criteria. Then the deductive approach was adopted to explain the identified themes and components at the community level.

## 3.1. Statistical population and sampling method

Given the nature of the study, the statistical population was divided into qualitative and quantitative sections. In the qualitative section, the target population encompassed all studies on the concerned topic and 14 experts in the field of entrepreneurship and public administration at the university level, who contributed to the meta-combination, critical assessment, and Delphi analysis methods to detect bureaucratic culture themes and outsourcing components and confirm theoretical saturation of the detected criteria. Accordingly, the homogeneous sampling method based was used to differentiate experts as panel members. In the quantitative section, 25 managers and deputies having different positions in Golestan Gas Company participated to explain the research topic in the target community. It is worth noting that the size of the statistical population was in line with scientific theories

of the ERST. Some researchers (e.g., Zhang et al., 2016; Pavlak, 2005) predicted the optimal sample size to be 15-25 persons. They also added that convenience sampling method needed to be used with regard to the nature of the study. The group in the quantitative section were to explain the results of the qualitative section in terms of entrepreneurial outsourcing policies and strategies. Since the concerned method was an analysis of the complex systems at certain levels and should have been performed based on specific benchmark such as the participants' experience or expertise, 15-30 persons were included to avoid huge incomprehensible response to the matrix questionnaire.

## 3.2. Validity

Content Validity Ratio (CVR) was used to confirm the content validity of the developed questionnaires, according to which 10 panel members were asked to use the three options "necessary", "useful but unnecessary," and "unnecessary" in their evaluations. Each participant was asked to select one of the aforementioned options to confirm the questionnaires' validity. According to the findings, all the themes and components surpassed the set standard CVR.

## 3.3. ERST

Rough test exploits information systems to compile knowledge and manage inaccurate data and encompasses four main dimensions, namely knowledge representation, set approximation, knowledge reduction, and rule comparison. The information system contains environmental attributes and a decision attribute, as shown below:

$$IS = (U. \Omega. W. f) \tag{1}$$

Where,
U is a non-null set with limits and n members $\{p_1. p_2. \dots. p_n\}$
$\Omega$ is a non-null set with limits and m members $\{q_1. q_2. \dots. q_n\}$
W Represents multiplication range of $\Omega \times U$
$f$ is $U \times \Omega \to W$, representing information function for $q \in \Omega, p \in U$, $f(p. q) \in w, (x. y) \in U \times U$.

x and y also stand for two objects.

Discernibility indicates the failure to differentiate objects in a distinct set, resulting in similar information derived from different observations. The discernibility of x and y is as follows:

$$IND(Q) = \{(x. y) \in U \times U: f(x. q) = f(y. q) \forall_q \in Q\} \tag{2}$$

A discernibility relationship divides the global standard into a group of equivalent classes. The equivalent classes in Eq. (2) are IND, called Q-elementary set in IS. $[x]_{IND(Q)}$ is Equal to the Q-elementary set containing $x$ objective objects ($x \in U$). The objects in the elementary sets include objects, which can be distinguished with regard to conditions. However, none of such objects can fit in a specific set according to the conditions. Low and u approximations of a set are defined in terms of lower and upper approximations. Lower approximation and upper approximation are two basic mathematical concepts used to detect information in inaccurate data. Lower approximations refer to objects that certainly belong to a specific subset of the target set, and upper approximation encompasses objects that may not belong to a specific subset. In this study, $Q \subseteq U$ and $X \subseteq U$. Q is a lower approximation and an upper approximation. The lower approximation X(QL) and the upper approximation X(QU) are presented as Eq. (3):

$$X (QL) = \{x \in U: [X]_{IND(P)} \subseteq X\} \tag{3}$$

$$X(QU) = \{x \in U: [X]_{IND(P)} \cap X \neq \phi\} \tag{4}$$

In contrast to the upper and lower approximations, there is also a boundary region. In other words, if an object is classified as a boundary region, it is impossible to detect to which set it belongs. These sets are based on the discernibility of the elementary sets, as discussed in IND(Q). RED(Q) and CORE(Q) are used to decrease information. Attribute reduction is conducted to eliminate irrelevant or duplicate attributes without reducing the approximation quality of an information system regarding the main set of attributes (Pie et al., 2010). The discernibility of a set of attributes remains unchanged when unrelated or duplicate attributes are removed. *Reduct* is the minimum attributes of a subset, which can classify similar components as a whole; hence, attributes not belonging to a reduct are superfluous and unnecessary in the classification of components. CORE Is the common part or chapter of all *reducts* and is considered as the most important subset of attributes. A discernibility matrix is a set distinguishing between two objects or two sets. The relationship between the *reducts* and CORE is as follows:

$$CORE(Q) = RED(Q) \qquad (5)$$

Rough set theory (RST) provides useful information; however, it cannot be observed visually. The useful rules are presented according to a new decision-making table, which uses a specific *reduct*. Each decision rule describes only a part of the whole data table and presents the number of records corresponding to the rules. Eq. (6) presents the support for a rule, where x is equal to the identified specific rules and CARD is the power of the set.

$$Supp_x = CARD[(\Omega(x) \cap W(x)] \qquad (6)$$

On the other hand, Eq. (7) represents the power of the rule. The rule power is used to indicate the ratio of a rule to the information table. The support is divided by the objects in the information table. The greater the power of a rule is, the more information is provided by a database that can be classified according to decision-making rules.

$$Strength = Supp_x/CARD(U) \qquad (7)$$

Furthermore, coverage is another significant criterion to measure the function of the ERST, which represents the credibility of a decision and is calculated as:

$$Coverage(W) = \frac{Supp(W)}{CARD(W)} \qquad (8)$$

Supp(W) and CARD(W) show the total number of objects and supports for a particular class of decision, respectively. Finally, Eq. (9) indicates the accuracy of an approximation from the set X regarding the attribute set Q , which is defined as the ratio of the lower approximation power to the upper approximation power.

$$Accuracy = \left[ \sum CARD(X(QL) \right. \\ \left. / \sum CARD(X(QU) \right] \qquad (9)$$

Two major problems emerge in performing RST: (1) estimating time for creating *reduct*, and (2) determining the best *reduct* in a set. Accordingly, CARD is used to manage *reduct* creation. In the present study, CARD software is a decision tree with binary branches, which works well in similar attributes and rating the

terminal node, parent node (c), and offspring node (e)

significance of the attribute to contribute to the entrepreneurial outsourcing effectiveness in the target community.

## 4.Classification and decision tree

The decision tree is a self-descriptive model. In other words, it depicts classification graphically in the absence of an expert in the field. Regarding its simplicity and comprehensibility, it is well-known in data mining. Noteworthy, when there are a lot of tree nodes, the graphical representation and interpretation can be somehow complicated. Each internal node stands for a variable, and each edge represents a possible value for the concerned variable. A leaf node shows the predicted value of a target variable (the variable to be predicted). In other words, the leaves indicate the final classification, and the path to the leaf shows the process of reaching that node. The learning process of a tree, in which nodes and edges are determined, usually initiates with examining the value of a attribute in the first step and splitting a dataset into subsets related to the values of that attribute. The process is repeated recursively in each subset obtained from splitting. In other words, several subsets are created in a subset based on the value of another attribute. The splitting operation stops when further splitting is no longer efficient or a classification can be applied to all samples in the obtained subset. In this process, the tree producing the minimum number of leaves and edges would usually be the final option.

CARD is first divided into three parts. In the first phase, it is a highly large tree growing based on the recursive partitioning of the data set. This tree contains some terminal nodes. Although the tree generally describes the data set, it usually provides unacceptable predictive results for new samples. Accordingly, it seems necessary to detect a smaller tree with higher predictive power without losing

**Figure 2:** Classification structure and decision tree CARD (groups d, b, and e as leaves /

The decision tree is a self-descriptive model. In other words, it depicts classification graphically in the absence of an expert in the field. Regarding its simplicity and comprehensibility, it is well-known in data mining. Noteworthy, when there are a lot of tree nodes, the graphical representation and interpretation can be somehow complicated. Each internal node stands for a variable, and each edge represents a possible value for the concerned variable. A leaf node shows the predicted value of a target variable (the variable to be predicted). In other words, the leaves indicate the final classification, and the path to the leaf shows the process of reaching that node. The learning process of a tree, in which nodes and edges are determined, usually initiates with examining the value of a attribute in the first step and splitting a dataset into subsets related to the values of that attribute. The process is repeated recursively in each subset obtained from splitting. In other words, several subsets are created in a subset based on the value of another attribute. The splitting operation stops when further splitting is no longer efficient or a classification can be applied to all samples in the obtained subset. In this process, the tree producing the minimum number of leaves and edges would usually be the final option.

CARD is first divided into three parts. In the first phase, it is a highly large tree growing based on the recursive partitioning of the data set. This tree contains some terminal nodes. Although the tree generally describes the data set, it usually provides unacceptable predictive results for new samples. Accordingly, it seems necessary to detect a smaller tree with higher predictive power without losing accuracy. In the second phase, which is called pruning, the large tree's branches are cut without losing accuracy. This procedure determines the sequences of small trees and provides the most accurate form by calculating cost-complexity. Eq. (10) is the cost-complexity equation, Pa.

$$Pa = P(J) + a|\bar{J}| \Leftrightarrow a = Pa - P(J)/\bar{J} \qquad (10)$$

where, $P(J)$ is the calculated replacement error, which is for the wrong classification error in CARD. $|\bar{J}|$ is the size of the subtree of $a$ complexity parameter. The parameter is complexity. During the pruning phase, $a$ accepts values from 0 to 1, and the sequence size of the nested trees decreases (Caetano et al., 2005). Among all subtrees of the same size, only one tree equal to Eq. (10). The last phase is to select the optimal tree size, which is equal to the smallest prediction error for new samples. The prediction errors are usually estimated in one of the following ways: independent tests and cross-validation.

The prediction errors are usually estimated in one of the following ways: independent tests and cross-validation. When the main dataset is large enough to be divided into a test set and practice set, independent tests can be used. When the elementary dataset is not large enough, the cross-validation method (V-fold) is a must. The final prediction error is equal to the total rate of wrong classification for a tree of any size, and the optimal tree is the simplest tree with the minimum cross-validation error in the standard cross-validation range (Brieman et al., 1984).

# 5. How to determine bureaucratic culture themes and entrepreneurial outsourcing components

To specify decision-making criteria and components, create a decision tree model, and determine the optimal point for understanding the bureaucratic culture themes to improve the effectiveness of entrepreneurial outsourcing, the research data need be extracted logically based on the theoretical foundations of the study. In this regard, one of the effective methods is the content analysis of relevant sources, in which the keywords and criteria related to the research variable(s) are first determined by taking notes from each source. Tables (1)

and (2) were considered to detect the bureaucratic culture themes ($x$) and entrepreneurial outsourcing components ($y$).

## 5.1. Detecting bureaucratic culture themes ($x$)

Bureaucratic culture is one type of organizational culture, which, following new theories and recent studies, has become increasingly significant in the field of management and formed one of the main and key management issues. Bureaucratic culture guarantees stability and consequently the understanding of current social issues and their solutions. Bureaucratic culture encompasses a set of rules enforced by some standardized tendencies, practices, and behaviors (Dwiyanto, 2011: 6). Bureaucracy is mostly interpreted according to Max Weber's definition of bureaucracy, which is based on formal and hierarchical structures underpinned by standardized procedures governing individuals' actions. Accordingly, individuals experience less freedom in their action and authority. The existence of rules and regulations as well as the integration caused by authorities makes organizational functions be highly inflexible (Sepahvand et al., 2009: 30). Different dimensions have been proposed for bureaucratic culture; however, from a more coherent perspective, the bureaucratic culture contains the following dimensions (Table 1).

**Table 1.** The Main Dimensions of Bureaucratic Culture

| Bureaucratic culture dimensions | References |
|---|---|
| Integration | Teräväinen & Junnonen (2019); Karlsson et al. (2018) |
| Criterionaly | Nguyen et al. (2019); Jain (2015); Mousavi et al. (2020) |
| Logical | Volkova & Chiker (2020); Saha & Kumar (2018) |
| Modified | Saha & Kumar (2018); Belak (2016); Ghaffari & Rostamnia (2017) |

The definitions of the main dimensions of bureaucratic culture are briefly presented in the following table:

**Table 2:** Definitions of Bureaucratic Culture Dimensions

| Bureaucratic culture dimensions | Description |
|---|---|
| Integration | A coherent and synergistic set of structures, systems, methods, and procedures, and an integrated network of authority and management as well as the general agreement of employees on key organizational issues |

| | and coordination and coherence among organizational units (Karlsson et al., 2018: 13). |
|---|---|
| **Criterionaly** | Observation of rules and regulations and instructions, compliance, the existence of formal relations and defined chains, discipline, organized affairs, and the existence of specific positions and career paths (Mousavi et al., 2020: 277). |
| **Logical** | Establishment of reasonable and purposeful relationships among jobs, formal standards, availability of process and procedure metrics, consistency and predictability of work procedures, reengineering, and consistent process improvement (Belak, 2016) |
| **Modified** | Efficient and useful systems, purposeful and systematic interactions of subsystems, consideration of communication infrastructures, intelligent and accurate information networks (Ghaffari & Rostamnia, 2017: 311). |

## 5.2. Detecting entrepreneurial outsourcing components ($y$)

In this phase, the meta-analysis method was used to detect the entrepreneurial outsourcing components in this study since there is no specified foundation and exact framework. Accordingly, all relevant studies on entrepreneurial outsourcing published during 2015-2020 were first screened to extract the relevant components. The following screening procedure was adopted in the present study. As illustrated in Figure (2), 31 primary sources were detected. After several phases of screening in terms of content, title, and analysis, 12 studies with appropriate and relevant content, title, and analytical procedure were selected.

Then concepts should be broken down into components according to Attride-Stirling's (2001) method to specify the entrepreneurial outsourcing components in the form of checklists. According to this method, the 12 studies approved for both research criteria were assessed using the following 10 critical research benchmarks: research objectives, the rationale of research method, research design, sampling, data collection, generalization, analysis accuracy, the transparent description of research findings theoretically, and the significance of the study.



**Figure 3:** Screening analysis of research proportionate

sources were detected. After several phases of screening in terms of content, title, and analysis, 12 studies with appropriate and relevant content, title, and analytical procedure were selected. Then concepts should be broken down into components according to Attride-Stirling's (2001) method to specify the entrepreneurial outsourcing components in the form of checklists.

According to this method, the 12 studies approved for both research criteria were assessed using the following 10 critical research benchmarks: research objectives, the rationale of research method, research design, sampling, data collection, generalization, analysis accuracy, the transparent description of research findings theoretically, and the significance of the study. To this end, 14 experts

participated in the analysis process to achieve a more coherent understanding of the nature of research. As noted, the entrepreneurial outsourcing components were determined based on Attride-Stirling's (2001) method using meta-analysis and critical evaluation checklist.

**Table 3:** The Evaluation Process of Selected Studies to Determine Entrepreneurial Outsourcing.

| Studies | Other countries | | | | | | | | | Iran | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Critical evaluation criteria / research | Urbanic & Zeur (2020) | Edwardson et al. (2019) | Brokoleri et al. (2019) | Banerjee et al. (2019) | Franco-Rodriguez & Rivera (2019) | Globerman & Vining (2017) | Goldschmidt & Schmieder (2017) | Monsson & Jørgensen (2016) | Battiston & Gamba (2016) | Ghasemi et al. (2020) | Farhangi et al. (2009) | Dehghani Poodeh et al. (2017) |
| Accepted ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | -- | ☑ | -- | ☑ | -- | ☑ | -- | ☑ | ☑ |
| Rejected ☒ | --- | --- | --- | ☒ | --- | ☒ | --- | ☒ | --- | ☒ | --- | --- |
| Research objective | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| research methodology | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Research design | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Sampling | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Data collection method | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| Generalization of findings | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Ethical issues | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Statistical analysis | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Theoretical foundations | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Research significance | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Total | 25 | 24 | 36 | 25 | 38 | 37 | 39 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 43 | 45 |

According to the total scores calculated for the selected studies and given the inclusion criteria set in the present study for studies with scores ≥ 30, the four studies, namely Ghasemi et al. (2016), Banerjee et al. (2019), Globerman and Vining (2017), and Monsson and Jørgensen (2016), were excluded. Then Attride-Stirling's (2001) method was used extract the research themes, and the following scoring method was used to determine the entrepreneurial outsourcing components.

According to this method, as shown in Table (4), all sub-criteria extracted from the texts of the included articles are listed in columns, and the authors are listed in the rows. In this table, if the researcher used the concerned sub-criteria in the columns, ☑ is inserted. Finally, the scores of the sub-criteria are summed up, and the scores above the mean are selected as the research components.

**Table 4:** The Process of Determining the Main Research Components.

| Researchers | Human resource functions | Productivity functions | Climatic functions | Structural functions | Strategic functions | Structural functions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Urbanic & Zeur (2020)** | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | - | - | ☑ |
| **Edwardson et al. (2019)** | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | - | ☑ | - |
| **Brokoleri et al. (2019)** | - | ☑ | ☑ | - | ☑ | ☑ |
| **Franco-Rodriguez & Rivera (2019)** | ☑ | - | - | - | ☑ | ☑ |
| **Goldschmidt & Schmieder (2017)** | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | - | ☑ | ☑ |
| **Gorsgard et al. (2016)** | - | ☑ | - | - | ☑ | ☑ |
| **Farhangi et al. (2009)** | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | - | ☑ | ☑ |
| **Dehghani Poodeh et al. (2017)** | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | ☑ | - | ☑ |
| **Total** | *6* | *7* | *7* | *4* | *6* | *8* |

As it can be observed, three components of human resource functions, productivity functions, and strategic functions have the highest frequencies; hence, they were examined in this study as the main criteria for selecting the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function in Golestan Gas Company. Regarding the analyses of the theoretical foundations in the selected research, the following table presents the entrepreneurial outsourcing functions.

**Table 5:** Definitions of entrepreneurial outsourcing functions.

| Entrepreneurial outsourcing components | Description | References |
|---|---|---|
| **Human resource functions** | As the basis of entrepreneurial outsourcing, human resource functions encompass a set of learning, control, and monitoring processes of human knowledge developed in different dimensions such as training specialized communication skills and capabilities and developing decision-making functions. Human beings show the highest level of involvement in decision-making and problem-solving issues. | Urbanic & Zeur (2020) Edwardson et al. (2019) Brokoleri et al. (2019) Franco-Rodriguez & Rivera (2019) Goldschmidt & Schmieder (2017) Gorsgard et al. (2016) Farhangi et al. (2009) |
| **Productivity functions** | Productivity functions are regarded as a macro foundation in the content features of companies since, by | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | balancing strategies and the agility nature and capacity, they contribute to enhancing the rate of return on investment, reducing costs in various dimensions, allocating resources optimally, and makes innovation be effective in operational and executive processes as a competitive advantage. | |
| **Strategic functions** | The strategic function of entrepreneurial outsourcing is considered as a remarkable competitive foundation for companies since, by having strategic planning to focus on key activities, it reduces enterprise ownership and downsizing to meet the changing needs and develop technical and innovative capabilities. The strategic approach to entrepreneurial outsourcing can also change conventional practices and processes in the form of business life cycles and increase dynamic capabilities for sustainable development. | |

# 6.Findings

## 6.1. Delphi Analysis

In this phase, to specify the research components in the model, the detected research components and items were distributed in the form of a score checklist according to Table (5) among 14 experts, who were selected using homogeneous sampling method, to extract their comments on the relationship between the bureaucratic culture themes and entrepreneurial outsourcing scores. In other words, Delphi analysis was used to reach the theoretical saturation to ensure the reliability of the extracted components (entrepreneurial outsourcing functions as the rules in Rough analysis) and items (bureaucratic culture themes as the basis in Rough analysis). In this regard, these components and items were submitted to the experts in the form of a checklist with seven options. Table (6) shows the results of Delphi analysis

**Table 6:** Delphi analysis results.

| Criteria | Components and items | Mean of first round | Coefficient of agreement in first round | Accepted ☑ | Rejected ☒ | Mean of first round | Coefficient of agreement in first round | Result |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Entrepreneurial outsourcing functions** | Human resource functions | 5.10 | 0.75 | ☑ | - | 5.25 | 0.85 | Confirmed |
| | Productivity functions | 5 | 0.70 | ☑ | - | 5.10 | 0.75 | Confirmed |
| | Strategic functions | 5 | 0.70 | ☑ | - | 5.20 | 0.82 | Confirmed |
| **Bureaucratic culture themes** | Integration | 5.10 | 0.75 | ☑ | - | 5.30 | 0.90 | Confirmed |
| | Criterionaly | 5.20 | 0.82 | ☑ | - | 6 | 0.95 | Confirmed |
| | Logical | 5 | 0.70 | ☑ | - | 5.20 | 0.82 | Confirmed |
| | Modified | 5.10 | 0.75 | ☑ | - | 5.30 | 0.80 | Confirmed |

As presented in Table (6), the entrepreneurial outsourcing components and the bureaucratic culture themes were confirmed during the two stages of Delphi analysis to determine the theoretical saturation point. Regarding the seven-point checklist submitted to the research experts and considering the Delphi Analysis and Kappa Statistics, the scores ≥ 5 and the coefficient of agreement ≥ 0.5 indicated the approval of the research components and items.

## 6.2. Hierarchical analysis

After detecting the criteria for bureaucratic culture themes and entrepreneurial outsourcing functions, the

weights of the research variables were determined using the Gray Analytic Hierarchy Process. To this end, after forming the pairwise comparison matrix of the problem, the experts' comments were collected. Then the incompatibility of each pairwise comparison matrix was determined. If the incompatibility of the pairwise comparison matrix was standard (<0.1), the next step would be started; otherwise, the pairwise comparison questionnaires were returned to the experts for review. Table (7) shows the results of the Gray Analytic Hierarchy Process.

**Table 7:** Results of Gray Analytic Hierarchy Process.

| Criteria | Components and items | Code | Weight of indices | | Total weight of indices | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Lower bound(L) | Upper bound (U) | Lower bound(L) | Upper bound (U) |
| Entrepreneurial outsourcing functions | Human resource functions | (Y1) | 0.414 | 0.522 | 0.338 | 0.522 |
| | Productivity functions | (Y2) | 0.209 | 0.255 | 0.178 | 0.209 |
| | Strategic functions | (Y3) | 0.147 | 0.213 | 0.106 | 0.213 |
| Bureaucratic culture themes | Integration | (X1) | 0.356 | 0.501 | 0.288 | 0.499 |
| | Criterionaly | (X2) | 0.298 | 0.473 | 0.193 | 0.473 |
| | Logical | (X3) | 0.769 | 0.988 | 0.613 | 0.989 |
| | Modified | (X4) | 0.331 | 0.457 | 0.320 | 0.457 |

## 6.3. Decision Tree Analysis (CARD) and ERST

After going through the data preparation process and decreasing their distribution, the ERST model was reviewed and analyzed, and testing accuracy and testing coverage were performed according to the following table.

As shown in Table 8, regarding the testing accuracy and testing coverage (i.e., STAC model), the highest effectiveness for the bureaucratic culture themes in promoting the effectiveness of entrepreneurial outsourcing functions was observed for the codified systems $(X4)$. This method is called cross-validation, which is of great significance in terms of the bureaucratic culture themes (namely integration, Criterionaly, Logical, and codified systems) for the effective development of entrepreneurial outsourcing in Golestan Gas Company. One of the advantages of this method is

that it prevents the potential problem of similarities among the options (i.e., over-fitting). To select the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function based on the concerned codes in Table (9), the decision tree (i.e., data reduction by CARD) was examined. Accordingly, the opinions of each participant in the Golestan Gas Company on the consistency between the bureaucratic culture themes and the entrepreneurial outsourcing functions were extracted. As it was mentioned, 25 managers and deputies from the Golestan Gas Company participated in this study. Regarding the word count limit of the article, the findings are presented in part.

**Table 8:** Results of cross-validation test for bureaucratic culture themes.

| Bureaucratic culture themes | Code | Best pruning of the rules | Testing Accuracy | Testing Coverage | STAC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Integration | (X1) | Pruning (limiting) rules with values <1 | 0.683 | 0.72 | 1.212** |
| Criterionaly | (X2) | Pruning (limiting) rules with values <1 | 0.490 | 0.52 | 1.032 |
| Logical | (X3) | Pruning (limiting) rules with values <1 | 0.560 | 0.61 | 1.176 |
| Modified | (X4) | Pruning (limiting) rules with values <1 | 0.749 | 0.88 | **1.354*** |

**Table 9:** Experts' opinions on the consistency between the bureaucratic culture themes and the entrepreneurial outsourcing functions.

| First participant | | Integration | Criterionaly | Logical | Codified Systems | Second participant | | Integration | Criterionaly | Logical | Codified systems |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | | | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 |
| Human resource functions | (Y1) | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | Human resource functions | (Y1) | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Productivity functions | (Y2) | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | Productivity functions | (Y2) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Strategic functions | (Y3) | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | Strategic functions | (Y3) | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Third participant | | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | Fourth participant | | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 |
| Human resource functions | (Y1) | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Human resource functions | (Y1) | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Productivity functions | (Y2) | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | Productivity functions | (Y2) | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Strategic functions | (Y3) | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Strategic functions | (Y3) | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |

After distributing the questionnaires and analyzing the participants' opinions in the quantitative section regarding the consistency between the bureaucratic culture themes and the entrepreneurial outsourcing functions, a decision-making matrix was formed to analyze the problem (i.e., detecting the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function). To form a decision table for problem-solving, the participants' comments should first be converted into interval numbers. According to Eq. (10) and Table (10), the total scores were determined and presented in Figure (3).

**Table 10:** Significance of competitive criteria.

| Entrepreneurial outsourcing functions | Code | Sig. | Explanatory power | Priority |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Human resource functions | (Y1) | 0.266 | 5.84 | 3rd |
| Productivity functions | (Y2) | 0.294 | 6.117 | 2nd |
| Strategic functions | (Y3) | 0.321 | 7.177 | 1st |

It can be noticed that the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing functions in Golestan Province Gas Company were strategic functions (Y3), productivity functions ( Y2 ), and human resource functions (Y1), respectively



**Figure 4:** Feature selection using CARD

In other words, as shown in Figure (4), the independent and meaningful bureaucratic culture themes, which were closely correlated with entrepreneurial outsourcing functions, were prioritized to be used in selecting the essential features based on the CARD method, as the basis of decision tree analysis. Figure (4) presents the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing functions in Golestan Gas Company. Accordingly, it is noticed that the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function is the strategic function. ERST was then used to determine the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function regarding the bureaucratic culture themes. In this analysis, the five-section cross-validation was used to form the decision rules of the ERST model. Following the pruning process, the rule-based model was developed, and the accuracy and coverage of the model were then calculated. Witlox and Tindmans (2004) noted that the large number of decision-making rules raises problems in human's direct understanding and makes decision-making process difficult for decision-makers. In this model, the number of decision rules decreases in the pruning process regarding the accuracy and coverage rates. The accuracy and coverage rates (i.e., STAC) are used as a criterion in the rule-pruning phase (Pai et al., 2010). The STAC value is equal to the sum of testing accuracy and testing coverage. Table (8) presents the best pruning rule policy, number of rules, testing coverage rate, and the STAC value. As shown in Table (11), codified systems (X4) are the best way (rule), i.e. the best bureaucratic culture theme, to effectively promote entrepreneurial outsourcing functions to reach a competitive advantage since it has the largest values in terms of testing accuracy and testing coverage and the STAC value, compared to the other three bureaucratic culture themes.

**Table 11:** Decision-making rules derived from X4 in the ERST model

| Decision rules | Agreement (%) |
|---|---|
| (Strategic function) has the significance level of 0.32; hence, it is the most effective factor in entrepreneurial outsourcing functions. | 0.83 |
| (Productivity function) has the significance level of 0.294; hence, it is the second most effective factor in entrepreneurial outsourcing functions. | 0.69 |
| (Human resource function) has the significance level of 0.266; hence, it is the second most effective factor in entrepreneurial outsourcing functions. | 0.42 |
| Note: Given that (X4)), i.e. codified system, was the most important theme of bureaucratic culture and gained the highest degree of effectiveness in selecting the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function, among other bureaucratic culture themes (X1), (X2) and (X3), other decision-making rules must be derived from (X4). Then the upper and lower bounds in the process of hierarchical analysis were derived to determine the boundaries among the | |

entrepreneurial outsourcing functions. Accordingly, a derivative, including the upper and lower bound integrals, is derived from the created function, which should use the following rule and directly provides us with the formula to calculate the integral derivative. The integral derivative $\int_{n(x)}^{m(x)} h(t)dt$ is

$$\left( \int_{n(x)}^{m(x)} h(t)dt \right)' = m'(x).h\big(m(x)\big) - n'(x).h(n(x))$$

That is, a derivative of a function having an integral with a univariate function such as h(t) and bounds of x such as upper bound m(x)) and lower bound n(x) is equal to upper bound derivative multiplied by the function inside the integral whose variable is substituted by upper bound minus the derivative of lower bound, multiplied by the function inside the integral whose variable is substituted by lower bound.

In Table (11), given the higher percentage of the coefficient of agreement, the participants believe that the strategic functions of the bureaucratic culture themes should be focused to select the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function regarding the bureaucratic culture themes. Once more, to ensure the selection of the most effective outsourcing function, the Gray analysis was used for the experts' opinion in Table (11). In Table (11), the results are converted into the distance decision matrix, which can be deduced from the following equation:

$$\underline{\text{Apr}}\left(G_q\right) = \cup\{Y \in U | R(Y) \leq G_q\} \tag{11}$$

$$\overline{\text{Apr}}(G_q) = \cup\{Y \in U | R(Y) \geq G_q\} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bnd}\left(G_q\right) &= \cup\{Y \in U | R(Y) \neq G_q\} \\ &= \{Y \in U | R(Y) > G_q\} \\ &\cup \{Y \in U | R(Y) < G_q\} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$G_q$ can be represented by a rough number in the corresponding lower and upper bounds:

$$\underline{\text{Lim}}(G_q) = \frac{1}{M_L} \sum R(y) | Y \in \underline{\text{Apr}}\left(G_q\right) \tag{14}$$

$$\overline{\text{Lim}}(G_q) = \frac{1}{M_U} \sum R(y) | Y \in \overline{\text{Apr}}(G_q) \tag{15}$$

$$RN(G_q) = \left[\underline{\text{Lim}}(G_q).\overline{\text{Lim}}(G_q)\right] \tag{16}$$

where, M and $M_L$ are the values of $\underline{\text{Apr}}\left(G_q\right)$ and $\overline{\text{Apr}}(G_q)$, respectively.

Obviously, the lower and upper bounds determine the mean value of the elements related to the upper and lower approximations, respectively, and the difference between the bounds is defined by the Rough boundary distance.

$$IRBnd(G_q) = \overline{\text{Lim}}(G_q) - \overline{\text{Lim}}(G_q) \tag{17}$$

The Rough boundary distance expresses ambiguity, so that a larger value indicates more ambiguity, while a smaller value shows higher accuracy. Accordingly, cognitive information can be expressed by Rough values.

**Table 12:** Decision analysis distance analysis matrix

| Components | Items | Integration X1 | | Criterionaly X2 | | Logical X3 | | Modified X4 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Lower bound (L) | Upper bound (U) | Lower bound (L) | Upper bound (U) | Lower bound (L) | Upper bound (U) | Lower bound (L) | Upper bound (U) |
| Human resource functions | Y1 | 26.15 | 27.17 | 27.80 | 29.20 | 25 | 28 | 29 | 31 |
| Productivity functions | Y2 | 28.55 | 30.07 | 28.17 | 30.45 | 27.56 | 29.19 | 26.17 | 28.10 |
| Strategic functions | Y3 | 26.76 | 28.11 | 28.51 | 30.76 | 26.15 | 27.96 | 17.12 | 30.02 |
| | | The most effective items regarding research components | | | | | | | |

According to the result of the distance decision matrix, the codified system X4 is the most important bureaucratic culture theme, which is regarded as an important factor affecting entrepreneurial outsourcing functions. The research items should be re-analyzed to perform Gray Vikor analysis. For this purpose, after forming the decision matrices, the positive ideal level ($f_j^*$) and the negative ideal level ($f_j^-$) should be determined in the form of the decision matrix criteria, as shown in Table (13).

**Table 13:** Determining positive and negative ideals

| Items of bureaucratic culture | Integration X1 | Criterionaly X2 | Logical X3 | Modified X4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $(f_j^*)$ | 28.39 | 20.28 | 23.17 | 30.12 |
| $(f_j^-)$ | 16.22 | 15.72 | 14.20 | 17.18 |

As it is shown, none of the items has a higher negative ideal than the positive ideal, indicating the effectiveness of all the bureaucratic culture themes in the entrepreneurial outsourcing functions. Once more, it was confirmed that the codified systems are the most important bureaucratic culture theme with a degree of desirability higher than the other bureaucratic culture themes, implying the most critical role of the codified systems among all the other bureaucratic culture themes regarding the entrepreneurial outsourcing functions. To detect the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function regarding the bureaucratic culture themes, the standard analysis Q as a measure of the Gray Vikor analysis. In this regard, $S_i^U, S_i^L, R_i^L,$ and $S_i^L$ should be determined based on the following equation. Then, the main component of the Gray Vikor analysis (i.e., Q) needs to be determined. Table (14) presents the results of the calculations.

$$R_i^L = \max_j \begin{cases} W_j^L \left| \frac{f_j^* - f_{ij}^U}{f_j^* - f_j^-} \right| & j \in B \\ W_j^L \left| \frac{f_{ij}^L - f_j^*}{f_j^- - f_j^*} \right| & j \in C \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$R_i^U = \max_j \begin{cases} W_j^U \left| \frac{f_j^* - f_{ij}^L}{f_j^* - f_j^-} \right| & j \in B \\ W_j^U \left| \frac{f_{ij}^U - f_j^*}{f_j^- - f_j^*} \right| & j \in C \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where, $W_j^L$ is the lower bound, and $W_j^U$ is the upper bound of the weight for each criterion. The next step is to calculate the values $\left[ Q_i^L Q_i^U \right]$.

$$Q_i^L = v \left( \frac{S_i^L - S^*}{S^- - S^*} \right) + (1 - v) \left( \frac{R_i^L - R^*}{R^- - R^*} \right) \quad (21)$$

$$Q_i^U = v \left( \frac{S_i^U - S^*}{S^- - S^*} \right) + (1 - v) \left( \frac{R_i^U - R^*}{R^- - R^*} \right) \quad (22)$$

$$S_i^U = \sum_{j \in B} W_j^U \left( \frac{f_j^* - f_{ij}^L}{f_j^* - f_j^-} \right) + \sum_{j \in B} W_j^U \left( \frac{f_{ij}^U - f_j^*}{f_j^- - f_j^*} \right) \quad (18)$$

$S^* = \text{Min}_i S_i^L, S^- = \text{Max}_i S_i^U, R^* = \text{Min}_i R_i^L, R^* = \text{Max}_i R_i^U, and$ Q are a cumulative item.

In this equation, v represents the weight of the maximum standard policy, and $v \in [0.1]: usually \, v = 0/5$. Now, it is time to rank the options based on S, R, and Q. Since the Gray Vikor analysis method provides distance weights for the research options, it is not possible to simply rank the items based on Q. There are several methods to rank distance weights, one of which is described below and is used in the present study.

$$A = [a_1. a_2]; B[b_1. b_2] \quad (23)$$

$$C = [c_1. c_2] = A - B = [a_1 - b_2. a_2 - b_1] \quad (24)$$

$$\text{IF} \ \frac{|c_1|}{c_2 - c_1} < \frac{|c_2|}{c_2 - c_1} \rightarrow \text{Then } A > B \quad (25)$$

$$\text{IF } \frac{|c_1|}{c_2 - c_1} < \frac{|c_2|}{c_2 - c_1} \rightarrow \text{Then } A \leq B \qquad (26)$$

**Table 14:** Item analysis of Gray Vikor analysis method

| Entrepreneurial outsourcing functions | Code | $S_i^U$ | $S_i^L$ | $R_i^U$ | $R_i^L$ | $Q_i^U$ | $Q_i^L$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Human resource functions | Y1 | 1.772615 | 2.891726 | 0.412909 | 0.781781 | 8200198/0 | 0.8200198 |
| Productivity functions | Y2 | 1.506732 | 2.321728 | 0.377716 | 0.534266 | 6944381/0 | 0.6944381 |
| Strategic functions | Y3 | 1.112168 | 2.281777 | 0.352718 | 0.493090 | 6182734/0 | 0.6182734 |
| Assessment criteria | Criterion level | | | $S^*$ | $S^-$ | $R^*$ | $R^-$ |
| | Criterion value | | | 0.700286 | 3.172677 | 0.526359 | 1 |

The analytic criterion Q was selected as a measure of the Gray Vikor analysis, and the highest rate of Q is obtained for the human resources function in entrepreneurial outsourcing. However, according to the analytical process and the instructions on such analysis, the inverse of the Rough analysis should be used as the most effective component since the lowest rates of Q indicate the influence of bureaucratic culture themes on the entrepreneurial outsourcing components. Accordingly, the lowest rate of the entrepreneurial outsourcing component was obtained for the strategic functions Y3, suggesting the significant role of bureaucratic culture themes in entrepreneurial outsourcing functions. In other words, regarding the bureaucratic culture themes, especially the codified systems, Golestan Gas Company receives the greatest benefits from the strategic functions of entrepreneurial outsourcing. This finding is consistent with the results in Table (11), i.e. ERST. This implies the significance of strategic outsourcing functions for the codified systems in bureaucratic culture themes.

## 7. Conclusion

Outsourcing in entrepreneurship is a new theoretical concept in the field of entrepreneurship, which has been a hotbed of research. Unlike the previous research frameworks examining the relationship between organizational culture and organizational entrepreneurship, the present study adopted content analysis of the bureaucratic culture themes and entrepreneurial outsourcing components using the ERST to select the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function. affecting the bureaucratic culture themes. According to the findings, among the bureaucratic culture themes in Golestan Province Gas Company, the codified systems governing the organizational culture of the company are the most important cultural approach,

which can affect both internal and external procedures in this company at strategic levels. The codified systems of the bureaucratic culture include a set of subsystems, which in addition to having well-codified and purposeful communication with other sectors, contribute to the development of effective communication processes using the immediate feedback from information received from intelligent information networks. In other words, the codified systems in bureaucratic culture express interactive communication to promote effectiveness in information circulation functions to promote learning in the company. Information sharing and the convergence of interpersonal experiences are the main advantage of this bureaucratic culture theme, which can stimulate the strategic functions of entrepreneurial outsourcing to be more effective. Given that the strategic function was the most effective entrepreneurial outsourcing function in the concerned gas company, it was also found out that the intersection of the codified systems is a factor motivating further effect of this entrepreneurial outsourcing dimension. In analyzing the findings, it should be mentioned that the existence of codified systems further promotes the strategic approaches to the competitive advantage resulting from entrepreneurial outsourcing since this entrepreneurial outsourcing function using strategic planning levels would contribute to the company to promote creativity by sharing information and increasing the level of innovation to create more learning and knowledge by experts outside the company. Both from an operational and service perspective, the arousal of competitive ideas and innovations in the company can significantly help the company respond to rapid environmental changes and further promote agility in the company and reduce state ownership by eliminating some structural layers and procedures and reducing hierarchical positions. At the

same time, it can increase the level of citizens' involvement based on the codified systems and information circulation from inside to outside and outside to inside, and this would further promote the company's development functions in terms of sustainability, i.e. the nature of the entrepreneurial outsourcing model. The findings are in line with those reported by Edwardson et al. (2019), Gorsgard et al. (2016), and Farhangi et al. (2009). According to the findings and regarding the contrast between from a state perspective and the executive processes such as money-making from a private perspective, Golestan Gas Company should institutionalize a contingent culture subject to the flexibility approaches and procedures in the form of codified values and symbols in individuals and organizational structures to gradually promote the strategic perception between the company and employees and increase synergy and integration at an effectiveness level such as entrepreneurship. On the other hand, entrepreneurship development requires the use of consultants outside the organization for the organizational culture dimensions to promote the innovative perception of human resources while addressing greater productivity. In this regard, the development of competitive strategies in a similar vein with the development of entrepreneurial dimensions requires a link between culture and strategy under the theories connecting the content dimensions of an organizational structure. To this end, breaking the reference frameworks and exploiting cultural storytelling and symbolism, even under a bureaucratic culture, would contribute to such a consistency.

# References

Banerjee, A., Hanna, R., Kyle, J., Olken, B. A., & Sumarto, S. (2019). Private Outsourcing and Competition: Subsidized Food Distribution in Indonesia. Journal of Political Economy, 127(1), 101-137.

Belak, J. (2016). Management and governance: organizational culture in relation to enterprise life cycle, Kybernetes, 45(4): 680-698. https://doi.org/10.1108/K-04-2014-0082.

Bhuiyan, F., Baird, K., Munir, R. (2020). The association between organizational culture, CSR practices and organizational performance in an emerging economy, Meditari Accountancy Research, https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-09-2019-0574.

Bruccoleri, M., Perrone, G., Mazzola, E., & Handfield, R. (2019). The magnitude of a product recall: offshore outsourcing vs. captive offshoring effects. International Journal of Production Research, 57(13): 4211-4227.

Choudhry, A, Z. (2014). Application Outsourcing Governance Model. Master's Thesis in information, Linnaeus University.

Dehghani Poudeh, H., Cheshmberah, M., torabi, H., Karimi Gavareshki, M., Hosnavi, R. (2017). Determining and prioritizing the influence indices for the CoPS R&D projects outsourcing (A study on Aviation Industries Organization, Iran). Journal of Technology Development Management, 5(3): 139-168 (In Persian).

Dwiyanto, A. (2011). Mengembalikan Kepercayaan Publik Melalui Reformasi Birokrasi. Jakarta: PT. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.

Edvardsson, I, R., Durst, S., Oskarsson, G, K. (2019). Strategic outsourcing in SMEs, Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 27(1): 73-84. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-09-2019-0322.

Farhangi Farghani, N., Abbasnejad, T., Ghafournia, M. (2019). Evaluation of Outsourcing Activities of the Subscribers Services Sector of Water and Wastewater Company based on the System Dynamic Approach: Hormozgan Province. Industrial Management Journal, 11(1): 111-132. (In Persian).

Forouharfar A. (2020) Entrepreneurial Bureaucracy. In: Farazmand A. (eds) Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance. Springer, Cham.

Franco-Rodriguez, C., & Rivera, C. F. (2019). Entrepreneurial Spirit in Family Business Successors: The Case of Mexico. In Handbook of Research on Ethics, Entrepreneurship, and Governance in Higher Education (pp. 398-413). IGI Global.

Gayarre, J, L. (1992). From bureaucratic company to entrepreneurial management: A process of change, The International Executive, 34(1): 81-101. https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.5060340108.

Ghaffari, R., Rostamniya, Y. (2017). Organizational Social Inertia and laziness; Dysfunctions of the bureaucratic Organizational culture. Journal of Public Administration, 9(2): 307-332. (In Persian).

Ghasemi E, Mohajerani A, haghighian M. (2020). Investigating the Effects of Social Components and Institutional Environment on Agricultural Entrepreneurship Development (Case Study: Fasa city). hafthesar, 8(31):75-83 (In Persian).

Globerman, S., & Vining, A. R. (2017). The outsourcing decision: A strategic framework. In Global outsourcing strategies (pp. 27-40). Routledge.

Goldschmidt, D., & Schmieder, J. F. (2017). The rise of domestic outsourcing and the evolution of the German wage structure. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 132(3), 1165-1217.

Gross, A., Holtzblatt, M., Javalgi, R. (2013). Professional Occupations, Knowledge-Driven Firms, and Entrepreneurship: A National and Regional Analysis. Business Economic, 48(2): 246–259. https://doi.org/10.1057/be.2013.22.

Größler, A., Timenes Laugen, B., Arkader, R., Fleury, A. (2013). Differences in outsourcing strategies between firms in emerging and in developed markets", International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 33(3): 296-321. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571311300791.

Hosseni, S, H., Baddast, B. (2011). Study of relationship between organizational culture and organizational entrepreneurship in Mallet Bank of Golestan Province. Journal of Cultural Management, 5(4): 100-114. (In Persian).

Jain, A, K. (2015). Volunteerism and organisational culture: Relationship to organizational commitment and citizenship behaviors in India, Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal, 22(1): 116-144. https://doi.org/10.1108/CCM-11-2013-0167.

Karakasnaki, M., Psomas, E., Bouranta, N. (2019). The interrelationships among organizational culture and service quality under different levels of competitive intensity: An application in the shipping industry, International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences, 11(2): 217-234. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQSS-10-2017-0096.

Karlsson, M., Denk, T., Åström, J. (2018). Perceptions of organizational culture and value conflicts in information security management, Information and Computer Security, 16(2): 213-229.

Korsgaard, S., Anderson, A. and Gaddefors, J. (2016). Entrepreneurship as re-sourcing: Towards a new image of entrepreneurship in a time of financial, economic and socio-spatial crisis", Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy, 10(2):178-202. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEC-03-2014-0002.

Kumar, N., Sharma, D, D. (2018). The role of organizational culture in the internationalization of new ventures, International Marketing Review, 35(5): 806-832. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-09-2014-0299.

Martín-Rojas, R., Fernández-Pérez, V. & García-Sánchez, E. (2017). Encouraging organizational performance through the influence of technological distinctive competencies on components of corporate entrepreneurship, International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal, 13(2): 397–426, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-016-0406-7.

Moghimi, S, M. (2010). Transformation of Public Management Culture from Bureaucratic Culture to Entrepreneurial Culture (Case Study: Ministry of Energy Headquarters), Scientific Journal of Business Strategies-Daneshvar (Former Behavior), 8(41): 293-310. (In Persian).

Molnar, A. (2018). Strategic management and innovative applications of e-government. IGI Global, Hershey.

Monavarian A, Ahmadi-Tonekaboni M. (2012). The Effect of Organizational Culture on Entrepreneurial Orientation in Bank Karafarin, JMDP, 25(2): 53-76 (In Persian).

Monsson, C, K., Jørgensen, S, B. (2016). How do entrepreneurs' characteristics influence the benefits from the various elements of a business incubator?, Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 23(1): 224-239. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-10-2013-0158.

Mousavi, S., Aref Negad, M., Fathi Chegeni, F., Sepahvand, M. (2020). An Analysis of the Effect of Bureaucratic Culture on the Invisibility of Employees with the Mediating Role of Passive Leadership: A Case Study of Lorestan Province Governmental Organizations. Organizational Culture Management, 18(2): 273-296 (In Persian).

Murphy, P, J., Wu, Z., Welsch, H., Heiser, D, R., Young, S, T., Jiang, B. (2012). Small firm entrepreneurial outsourcing: traditional problems, nontraditional solutions, Strategic Outsourcing: An International Journal, 5(3): 248-275.

https://doi.org/10.1108/17538291211291774.

Nguyen, V, T., Siengthai, S., Swierczek, F., Bamel, U, K. (2019). The effects of organizational culture and commitment on employee innovation: evidence from Vietnam's IT industry, Journal of Asia Business Studies, 13(4): 719-742. https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-09-2018-0253.

Saha, S., Kumar, S, P. (2018). Organizational culture as a moderator between affective commitment and job satisfaction: Empirical evidence from Indian public sector enterprises, International Journal of Public Sector Management, 31(2): 184-206. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-03-2017-0078.

Santana, M, D. (2017). Promoting entrepreneurship: a growing instrument of soft-diplomacy in the MENA region. IEMD. Mediterranean Yearbook. Strategic Sectors: Economy & Territory, 2(2): 251–254.

Sepahvand, R., Saedi, A., Shariatzadeh, A. (2019). Analyzing Effect of Leaders' Sense of Humor on Feelings of Followers through the Mediating Role of Bureaucratic Culture (Case Study: Employees of Lorestan University). Journal of Human Resource Management, 9(3), 27-50. (In Persian).

Siswadi, E. (2012). Birokrasi Masa Depan: Menuju Tata Kelola Pemerintahan yang Efektif dan Prima. Bandung: Mutiara Press.

Tayauova, G. (2012). Advantages and disadvantages of outsourcing: analysis of outsourcing practices of Kazakhstan banks. Social and Behavioral Sciences, 41, 188- 195.

Teräväinen, V, J., Junnonen, J, M. (2019). The promoters and the barriers for organizational culture change in a Finnish construction company, Construction Innovation, 19(4): 672-688. https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-04-2019-0029.

Urbaniec, M., Żur, A. (2020). Business model innovation in corporate entrepreneurship: exploratory insights from corporate accelerators, International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-020-00646-1.

Van Nattem, R. Proveniers, A. (2012). Entrepreneurial cooperation: A model for CREM in times of economic crisis? A case study at Eindhoven University of Technology, Journal of Corporate Real Estate, 14(2):121-133. https://doi.org/10.1108/14630011211261713.

Volkova, N., Chiker, V. (2020). What demographics matter for organizational culture, commitment and identification? A case in Russian settings", International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 28(1): 274-290. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-05-2019-1762.