Volume 10, No. 3, Summer 2021, Serial No. 34, Pp. 39-60 eISSN: 2783-2007 ISSN: 2322-2514

http://jrrp.um.ac.ir



Original Article

Explaining the Model of Post-Disaster Temporary Accommodation Strategy (Case Study: Sarpol-e Zahab, Kermanshah)

Elnaz Asgari Namin ¹- Ali Javan Forouzandeh ^{2*}- Maziyar Asefi ³- Kazem Shakeri ⁴

1- Ph.D. Candidate in Architecture, Ardabil Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran.

2- Assistant Prof. in Architecture, Ardabil Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran.

3- Full Prof. in Architecture and Urbanism, Tabriz Islamic Art University, Tabriz, Iran.

4- Associate Prof. in Civil Engineering, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran.

Received: 5 February 2021

Accepted: 9 July 2021

Abstract

Purpose- The study aims to identify the main problems of temporary accommodation strategies and to discuss some principles and guidelines in order to assist decision-makers for choosing the most suitable strategy and reach better temporary accommodation solutions.

Design/methodology/approach-The present research is of applied type and has been done by descriptive- analytical method. Documentary and library methods have been used to collect information. In this study, the main focus is on providing qualitative components of post-disaster accommodation in the form of a strategic model as a platform for decision makers in crisis situations.

Findings- This research presents a comprehensive strategy in temporary accommodation planning for decision makers by separating the two organizational and technical parts into three main parts (strategic, programmed and project level). To make the necessary decisions based on the personalization of the effective factors in each situation.

Research limitations/implications- At the time of the disaster, due to emergency, it is impossible to address all the parameters affecting the planning of temporary accommodation. In addition, each affected area has its own individual circumstances, which lead to the choice of its own strategy. It is essential to address the pre-disaster planning process and to have a model that can cover human error and consider the correspondence between the former and the new.

Practical implications- By applying a systematic strategy of temporary accommodation, in each area according to local data, while determining the stages of necessary activities in the time pre- disaster, disaster and post- disaster, can reduce the risks of crisis and increase resilience in the affected communities.

Originality/value- This article initiated an innovative systematic strategy of temporary accommodation which, be considered a series of actions as processes for fulfilling certain needs rather than as objects only such as tents or buildings.

Keywords- Post disaster temporary accommodation, Temporary housing, displaced people, Resilience, Strategy.



How to cite this article:

Asgari Namin, E., Javan Forouzandeh, A., Asefi, M. & Shakeri, K. (2021). Explaining the model of post-disaster temporary accommodation strategy (Case study: Sarpol-e Zahab, Kermanshah). *Journal of Research & Rural Planning*, *10*(3), 39-60.

http://dx.doi.org/10.22067/jrrp.v10i3.88506

*Corresponding Author: Javan Forouzandeh, Ali, Ph.D. Address: Department of Architecture, Faculty of Technical, Ardabil Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran. Tel: +98911 313 7292 E-mail: alijavanforouzande@gmail.com



1. Introduction

ver the last decade, 200 million people have been affected by natural disasters and hazards, 98% of whom lived in developing countries where climate change causes extreme temperatures, increased flooding, intense heat waves, and droughts (Aquilino, 2011). Those who lost their homes to natural disasters needed somewhere to live while their houses were rebuilt or needed to find alternative accommodations (Collins et al. 2010; Davis, 1982). The years between living in emergency accommodations and permanent houses present a time gap that needs to be bridged by temporary housing (TH) (Johnson et al. 2006). However, these temporary houses have, to date, been criticized for their inability to meet the expectations of displaced people (DP) (Chen et al., 2013).

People affected by a natural disaster have the right to live with dignity and to receive assistance to alleviate human suffering (Sphere Association, 2018) In general, to recover natural-affected population there are three different recovery phases: (1) emergency, (2) temporary, and (3) permanent accommodation (Lizarralde et al. 2009). During the reconstruction of permanent housing, it is a challenge to provide temporary accommodation that can supply security and personal safety, as well as offer protection from the adverse weather conditions, immunize people of diseases, and other possible dangers (Collins et al. 2010; Davis 1978; Félix et al. 2013).

Additionally, to bridge the time gap between natural disaster and permanent housing reconstruction, the DP need a place which enhance their opportunity to return to their normal activities (Davidson et al. 2007; Corsellis & Vitale 2011; Quarantelli 1995).

Furthermore, the provision of temporary housing (TH) is a crucial issue in terms of sustainability due to the economic, social, and environmental aspects involved (Barakat, 2003; Chandler et al., 2007; El-Anwar et al., 2009; Hadafi & Fallahi, 2010; Johnson, 2002; Sadiqi et al., 2012; Wei et al., 2012). TH planning has usually been accomplished in emergency situations after natural disasters (Johnson, 2002). The large amount of TH needs and DP pressure on authorities have a considerable negative impact on the decision-making processes. In general, recovery programs end into failure, when decision-makers neglect to consider correspondences between short- and long-term requirements of all local stakeholders and the characteristics of the chosen TH. Furthermore, strategies, which are provided by a restrained group of professionals, often fail to address the DP expectations (Lizarralde & Davidson 2006). To deal with this objective problem it is necessary to consider a wide range of factors involved, which derive from TH systems and actors beyond this system (Johnson 2007a).

These mentioned problems can be lessened by considering all factors involved in the whole life cycle of TH with regard to special conditions of each case and context. As different areas with diverse local living standards and prosperity require particular strategies (Johnson, 2007a; United Nations Disaster Relief Organization, UNDRO, 1982), a response to different natural affected-areas need to have an individual approach (Kennedy et al. 2008). In this regard, Nigg et al. (2006) stated that the post-disaster accommodation (PDA) typology is not particular or collectively comprehensive; the refinement of typology of these accommodations is required to achieve suitable customized solutions. Additionally, Da Silva (2010) declared that the most adequate programs should be chosen based on: the DP skills and capacity, the availability of the local materials, the housing design and construction type, the reconstruction timescale and the funding availability.

Therefore, it is necessary to consider all factors in terms of fitting with different situations and priorities of stakeholders, including some factors of less importance than others. For instance, site location, which seems to have lower priorities than timing, has a considerable impact on TH delivery time (Johnson, 2002) as one of the major indicators. Furthermore, the importance of indicators can vary from case to case based on natural disasters types and scales. To this end, awareness about outcomes of used PDA in previous recovery programs with the particular circumstances is vital to utilize some PDA approaches for a new case. In line with this, it is difficult to guarantee that the PDA program which has been useful for one case will be suitable for another case with different conditions. In other words, the determination of factors involved in each PDA provision and revealing outcomes, can provide explicit initial outlines.

Therefore, the objective of this research is to present a platform for decision-makers in hazard-prone areas for selecting the suitable post-disaster

Explaining the Model of Post-Disaster ... / Asgari Namin et al.



accommodation (PDA) strategy to implement, based on short-term and long-term requirements. This platform considers the integration of all associated factors which are organized into three main vertexes: (1) local characteristics, (2) natural disasters, and (3) PDA properties. Additionally, this study aims to display influences of these elements on choosing strategies, which were previously used for PDA provision. In this sense, the main questions to be solved in this research are:

- Which are the main requirements involved in PDA strategies and the constituents?

2. Research Theoretical Literature

2.1. Research Background

Numerous significant research studies have focused on defining the issues related to TH, especially organizational system. However, only a few studies consider TH optimization (El-Anwar et al. 2009), sustainable construction (Yi & Yang, 2014), and technical aspects. Additionally, to provide a proper PDA it is necessary to distinguish between two different areas of recovery programs (organizational and technical systems). To this end, other researches that deal with issues and aspects (provision, location, and second life) also considered in this research have been previously carried out (see Table 1)

2.2. Post-disaster housing phases

According to (Johnson et al. 2006; Quarantelli, 1995), the post-disaster housing phases are in general as follow: (1) emergency shelter (within

hours), (2) temporary shelter (within days), (3) temporary housing (TH) (within weeks), and (4) permanent (within years). Quarantelli (1995) makes a distinction between sheltering and housing. While sheltering refers to a place to stay during the aftermath of the disaster suspending daily activities, housing Immediate denotes the return to household responsibilities and daily routine. (Johnson, 2002). Additionally, UNDRO (1982) considered three phases for post-disaster recovery program: (1) intermediate relief (impact to 5 day), (2) rehabilitation (day 3 to 5 months), and (3) reconstruction (3 month onward).

2.3. Temporary housing provision approach

In general, post-disaster recovery programs in terms of TH provision can be organized into (1) separate (individual) stages and (2) joint stages, in the first approach, a specific accommodation is used for each recovery phase encompassing the emergency, temporary, and permanent housing phases. However, some materials of these houses can be reused for the next housing phase or a complete unit can be utilized without advanced planning. (Khazai & Hausler, 2005). In the second approach, a settlement that had been used for one of the recovery phases can be operated for other phases with or without modification. (Hadafi & Fallahi, 2010). Furthermore, TH can even play a transition role or permanent housing when the DP does not desire to leave or cannot return to their permanent housing (Peacock et al. 2007).

Issue	Sub-issue	Research	
State-of- the-art	DefinitionAbulnour, 2014; Barakat, 2003; Biswas, 2019; Davis & Alexander, 2016; Davis, 1978; DFID 2010; Félix et al., 2013; Félix& et al., 2015; Johnson, 2009; Hadafi & Fallahi, 2010; Peacock et al., 2007; Perrucci & Baroud, 2020; Quarantelli, 1995; Sphere Association, 2018.		
Technical	Provision	Asefi & Farrokhi, 2017; Askar, et al., 2019; Barakat, 2003; Chen et al., 2013; Davidson, et al., 2007; Hosseini et al., 2016a; Johnson, 2002, 2007 b; Johnson et ai., 2006; Yu, & Bai , 2018; Sphere Association, 2018.	
	Location	Celik, 2017; Chandler et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2013; Chua & Su, 2012; Hosseini et al., 2016b; Kelly, 2010; Lizarralde & Davidson, 2006; Nojavan & Omidvar, 2013; Omidvar, Baradaran- Shoraka, & Nojavan, 2013; Soltani et al., 2014; Wagemann, 2017; Sphere Association, 2018.	
	Second life	Arslan, 2007; Arslan & Cosgun, 2007, 2008; Johnson, 1995, 2007a; Sphere Association, 2018.	

Table 1. Previous studies on post-disaster accommodations based on considered issues by this study.

2.4. Post-disaster accommodation arrangement

The factors involved in PDA provision, especially TH, from planning to second life, have been considered in PDA arrangement as housing properties. Table 2 and Figure 1. Presents PDA arrangement, which includes: the time-scale, provision, and second life of TH. The *time-scale* index embraces different post-disaster phase,

diverse requirements, and features of accommodations which must be provided for DP. The *provision styles* index considers the PDA variety in order to provide this accommodation type and associated factors. The *second life* index takes into account the alternative scenarios of using TH after moving DP to the permanent housing.

Post-disaster accommodation arrangement	Parameters of PDA	REFERENCES
Time-scale	PDA phases differ from each other in terms of the time - (emergency shelter, temporary shelter, temporary housing, and permanent housing, and, within hours, a day or two, weeks, and few years, respectively)- of the provision process, operation, and also services	Quarantelli (1995) Johnson et al. (2006)
Housing styles	(1) available TH that does not need to be provided, such as available rental apartments and some of collective living quarters, and (2) Not Available TH (NATH) that needs to be constructed, such as mobile housing units (shipping containers, trailers, etc.)	Johnson (2009) Wei et al. (2012) UN (2013)
Site location	 involves many steps from planning to construction, consisting of an initial inventory, alternative analysis, assessment, detailed design, and construction procedures and services. The NATH site location can be chosen by two approaches: camp (grouped) and yard of DP' pre-disaster housing (dispersed). 	Davis (1978) Johnson (2002) Aquilino (2011) Kelly (2010)
Construction system (Not available temporary housing (NATH))	TH units for the provision of NATH have been using on-site masonry construction and prefabrication system consists of (1) ready-made units that are totally constructed in a factory and moved to the site, such as containers or mobile homes; and (2) supply kits whose elements have been produced in a factory and subsequently assembled on-site.	Hosseini et al. (2016) Félix et al (2013)
Labor	There are four labour methods: direct, community, contract, and self-help labour. Meanwhile, by focuses more on technical aspects, the labour methods organized into a couple of main categories: participation and third-party labour methods. The participation method embraces construction approaches when DP only (self-built) or DP with community (semi self-built) undertakes to provide the PDA The third-party labor method considers the construction approaches to provide DPs' accommodations by other people without the participation of the DP in the construction process.	Davidson et al. (2007)
Materials and building typology	(1) conventional materials which include the common materials of the building construction industry and (2) non-conventional materials	Arslan & Cosgun (2007) Barakat (2003) Bedoya (2004) Lizarralde et al., (2009) Davidson

Table 2. Post-disaster accommodation arran
--

Explaining the Model of Post-Disaster ... / Asgari Namin et al.



Post-disaster accommodation arrangement	Parameters of PDA	REFERENCES
Temporary housing second life	TH normally be used for a maximum of five years, after this time, named the "second <i>life</i> of TH; include of (1) reuse and (2) storage for potential use, such as future post- disaster TH. According to other author there are two diverse approaches for TH units (THUs), reuse; (1) complete building and (2) component usage. Complete buildings of THUs can be used in different ways in terms of <i>location</i> (same or another location), <i>property</i> <i>condition</i> (THUs can be sold, rented or donated), and <i>function</i> (same or other function). The components of THUs are used as main building components, raw materials, and recycled materials.	Johnson (2009) Arslan (2008) Cosgun (2008)
Local characteristics	(1) Local potentials, which consider local possibilities of providing temporary accommodation for DP groups based on material and immaterial properties; and (2) affected population by natural disaster with different-features which include DP and others, that play an important role in PDA provision. In other studies, local characteristics have been defined by vulnerability; include of population's capacity to resist and cope with natural disaster	Blaikie et al. (2014) UNDRO (1982) Sliwinsky (2007)
Local potential	Economic, social, and environmental aspects of affected area that are essential to be assessed in terms of (1) vulnerability of the local population against probabilistic natural hazard and (2) alternative temporary accommodation which can be utilized after the disaster.	Davidson et al. (2007)
Stakeholders	It is useful to consider the similarities and differences in the interests of stakeholder groups affected by temporary settlement. This might help to develop common operational guidelines which could improve co-ordination and co-operation between different organizations in the field.	Corsellis (2011), Shelter Center (2012)
Debris removal	Is a priority to enable the provision of shelter and the establishment of appropriate settlement solutions, The use, management, ownership and environmental impact of disposal sites should be considered.	Corsellis (2011), Shelter Center (2012)
legal context	An awareness of local and national laws is essential in order to understand the socio- political context of a settlement: local and national laws will affect the use of land for settlements.	Corsellis (2011), Shelter Center (2012)

4



Figure 1. PDA arrangement.

2.5. The minimum standards of Shelter and settlement The Humanitarian Charter expresses all people

affected by disaster or conflict have a right to receive protection, security and assistance to ensure the basic conditions for life with dignity.

Shelter is a critical determinant for survival in the initial stages of a disaster. Beyond survival, shelter is necessary to provide security, personal safety and protection from the climate and to promote resistance to ill health and disease. It is also important for human dignity, to sustain family and community life and to enable affected populations to recover from the impact of disaster.(Sphere Association, 2018)

Non-displaced disaster-affected populations should be assisted on the site of their original homes with temporary or transitional household shelter, or with resources for the repair or construction of appropriate shelter. Individual household factors including the extent of the assistance provided, land-use rights or ownership, the availability of essential services and the opportunities for upgrading and expanding the shelter. Displaced populations who are unable to return to their original homes often prefer to stay with other family members or people with whom they share historical, religious or other ties, and should be assisted to do so. When such dispersed settlement is not possible, temporary communal settlement can be provided in planned or selfsettled camps, along with temporary or transitional household shelter, or in suitable large public buildings used as collective centers.(Sphere Association, 2018)

The minimum standards are not a complete expression of the right to adequate housing as defined by the relevant international legal instruments (Fig. 2). Rather, the minimum standards reflect the core content of the right to adequate housing and contribute to the progressive



realisation of this right. this right of minimum standards of Shelter and settlement assorted in follow table 3.

Table 3. the Minimum Standards of Shelter and Settlement.			
The minimum standards of Shelter and settlement			
Standard 1: Strategic planning	Contribute to the security, safety, health and well-being, promote recovery and reconstruction.		
Standard 2: Settlement planning	The planning of return, host or temporary communal settlements enables the safe and secure use of accommodation and essential services by the affected population.		
Standard 3: Covered living space	People have sufficient covered living space providing thermal comfort, fresh air and protection from the climate ensuring their privacy, safety and health and enabling essential household and livelihood activities to be undertaken		
Standard 4: Construction	Local safe building practices, materials, expertise and capacities are used where appropriate, maximizing the involvement of the affected population and local livelihood opportunities		
Standard 5: Environmental impact	Shelter and settlement solutions and the material sourcing and construction techniques used minimize adverse impact on the local natural environment.		

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Geographical Scope of the Research

Sarpol-e Zahab earthquake with magnitude 7/3 occurred at 21.48 pm local time on November 11 2017, near Azgaleh city in Kermanshah province in northwestern Iran. The earthquake destroy large parts of Sarpol-e Zahab city and many cities and villages of Kermanshah province. Earthquake power to it was so big that it was felt even hundreds of kilometers from the earthquake center, even in Tehran. At least eight cities have been affected by the earthquake in Sarpol-e Zahab (Qasr-e Shirin, Azgaleh, Salas Babajani, Gilan-e Gharb, Sar-e Dalahoo, Islamabad West Poltabah. and Javanrood) and 1933 villages were damaged. According to forensic statistics as of 18 December 2017, Over 579 people were killed in the earthquake and thousands were injured. (IEES, 2017)

3.2. Methodology

The present research is of applied type and has been done by descriptive-analytical method. Documentary and library methods have been used to collect information. In this study, the main focus is on providing qualitative components of postdisaster housing in the form of a strategic model as a platform for decision makers in crisis situations. 3.3. Review of measures taken in the area of temporary accommodation and reconstruction

The magnitude of damages caused by the Kermanshah earthquake and the dispersal of the affected areas have caused the resettlement process Temporary and reconstructed earthquakes pose different challenges in terms of physical, social and economic aspects. In this Section Summary of Actions in the Area of Temporary settlement and Reconstruction, Challenges Overview Hint will be put:

3.4. Provision of temporary accommodation

Due to the destruction of most public buildings in affected areas, especially schools, it was not possible to use these places for the temporary accommodation of earthquake survivors. As a result, the government's main program is provided the container houses. for temporary accommodation. For this purpose, a contract was signed between the Housing Foundation of the Islamic Revolution and the IRGC 15,000 container houses, were provided for the quake-hit areas, In addition, people, donors and celebrities have taken action to provide shelters for the affected areas there are various ways to providing container



houses, in some cases, prefabricated parts are in place they are shipped and assembled in the workshop and then transported. Figure 2 also in some cases prefabricated shelters are sent and installed to the area in a relatively complete manner by truck or trailer.



Figure 2. (a) Left: The container houses, Construction Workshop near the Quick Villages. Figure 2. (b) Right: A fully prefabricated temporary shelter carried by a trailer. (Source: IEES, 2017)

There were major challenges in providing temporary housing for survivors, some of which are as follows: (IEES, 2017)

3.5.Problems Related to Infrastructure Requirements One of the problems related to the camps and Temporary shelters, water supply needed for drinking, sanitation, and health issues, as well as electricity supply and Phone access.Thus, it was necessary to prepare and install them before the camps were set up Water pipelines, electricity and telecommunication equipment, sewage disposal facilities are also considered. This dilemma has led to, for example, the use of toilets or Bathrooms, residents of temporary shelters may in some cases have many problems.

3.6. Climatic Problems

The container houses, is not a good fit for the climate conditions of the region Not very compatible with the climate of the region. In recent days, there has been talk of displacement and reversal some of the container houses, have been released due to local storms. It seems like providing temporary housing to the affected villages with using local materials and capabilities can also create Employment for survivors will perform better and accelerate the process.

In some villages indigenous tents are being developed by people using fabrics such as cloth, straw and nylon, which is also more adaptable to the climate of the region. The heating mechanism of these tents is often petroleum heaters or valve lights which can endanger the health of survivors through the limited space inside the tent.



Figure 3. (a) Left: Manufacture of native tents by some survivors. (Internal space) Figure 3. (b) Right: Manufacture of native tents by some survivors. (Outdoor space) (Source: IEES, 2017)

Explaining the Model of Post-Disaster ... / Asgari Namin et al.



3.7. Cultural Issues

Considering that the establishment of shelters without regard to cultural issues and with the social going on in the region, privacy and ethnic issues can be dealt with the future will create problems for the affected community. Such problems in past seismic events The Bam earthquake in particular has also been frequently reported.

3.8. Rights and Ownership

Putting container houses, on land owned by other people is tense There are some villages. For example in the village of zarde payin the landowner desired of the IRGC prevented the camps from setting up camps on their land and tensions in the village Created thereafter.

3.9. Creating Secondary Problems in Reconstruction

Many prefabricated units on private land and they are installed in the vicinity of damaged houses. This can restructure due to limitations Space has problems. Also building temporary housing in the vicinity of damaged units has caused some problems with the removal.

3.10. Feeling Discriminated

Differences in the type of shelters offered to people in temporary housing, it has created a sense of discrimination among the people of the region. While some people in tents they have a hard time living, some of them taking advantage of well-built shelters. Even the difference the type of prefabricated shelters has also intensified the feeling among the people. Shelters All funded by the government have the same size and specifications, but the shelters People's donations range in size from small to large. Hence the conflicts at the regional level Damage is observed when receiving shelter.

3.11. Debris Removal

Many of the buildings in the area were destroyed by the earthquake, and a large amount of debris remained. Thus, the debris removal operations were carried out with the aim of searching and rescuing, reopening roads and preparing the ground for Housing and reconstruction began in the early hours after the earthquake by heavy machinery sent to the area. There are, of course, a number of problems in the removal operations, the most important being the following the problem of lack of proper space for the debris depot has caused the debris to discharge in areas where Can have future environmental consequences. The riverbeds, the roads, the proximity Slopes and ... are some of the places used to dump waste. In general, the necessary location for this important It's not done Rubble depot in different plains and areas that can have environmental consequences there is no plan for earthquake recycling. Only available iron by local buyers it is separated from the rubble and the rest of the rubble removed by truck is transported out of the city. It seems if such programs exist, the volume of debris would be reduced and in addition to saving the need Materials during the reconstruction, it was possible to preserve the environment in a more desirable way.

3.12. People's Participation

Residents of affected areas should be directly involved in the reconstruction process in Participate in remediation actions. This increases the acceptability of the result of the work, creating the job and the social consequences of the earthquake will be reduced and the work process will accelerate. Also because the reconstruction process is acceptable to the disadvantaged sections of the community; Obtain people's opinions, or at least their representatives, in decision-making sessions. Without the opinion of the affected people was not a successful reconstruction process and the result was widely used it won't take. In this regard, in the process of temporary housing, unfortunately, the participation and how to use it for the affected people has not been given much attention.

3.13. Livelihoods

Currently, the region's economic infrastructure is often damaged and in the future as a major problem, employment and livelihoods will grip people. Many livestock in the village has been destroyed or inevitably sold out, and livestock in these conditions are almost impossible. Therefore, besides paying attention to housing reconstruction, there are ways to ensure people's livelihood Think about reducing the effects of unemployment as much as possible. Some of the solutions available in these relationships are as follows:

- Craftsmanship training and creating handmade cooperatives for the sale of manufactured items

Providing employment with new apprenticeships

- Creating job opportunities by distributing micro loans in affected villages

- Helping restore the region's economic infrastructure, particularly in agriculture and livestock, by providing loans or private sector investments

- Providing facilities for resumption of earthquake-affected jobs

4. Research Findings

This research presents a comprehensive strategy in temporary accommodation planning for decision makers by separating the two organizational and technical parts into three main parts (strategic, programmed and project level), to make the necessary decisions in disaster areas.

This new strategy has the ability to be generalized in similar examples, based on the prioritization of components according to the local context of each disaster.

Regardless of the prosperity level of populated areas, almost all affected areas are struggling with post-disaster housing (PDH) aftermath of natural disasters. In these areas TH is the first priority phase for the government (Hidayat 2010) because TH offers security and safety to Displaced People (DP) so they can return the pre-disaster conditions (Collins et al. 2010; Johnson 2007a). However, most Temporary Housing Units (THUs) that have been used for previous recovery programs are rejected by most experts (Johnson 2009). In general, THUs usually do not satisfy all stakeholders due to numerous weaknesses. According to numerous experts (Barakat 2003; Chandler et al., 2007; El-Anwar et al. 2009; Hadafi & Fallahi 2010; Johnson 2002), these units have had economic. social. and environmental problems.

According to Lizarralde & Davidson (2006), PDH strategies often fail to address the DP expectations. In this regard, Simon (1996) stated that dealing with complex emergency situations cannot rely only on decision-makers due to the bounded rationality (cited by (Kapucu & Garayev 2011)).

Additionally, decision-making processes are usually implemented after natural disasters under high pressure and stressful conditions in extremely tight timeframes. Meanwhile, it is necessary to consider long-term planning (Kennedy et al. 2008)

all معماور ترتب تعدير معلور بالمعلور بالمعلوم بالمعلور بالمعلوم بالمعلوم

of the key issues for PDA provision (Gharaati & Davidson 2008).

Additionally, according to United Nations Disaster Relief Organization (UNDRO) (1982), each affected area has individual conditions that lead to choose its particular strategy. Furthermore, different natural disasters have diverse impacts (Lindell & Prater 2003), which need to be considered individually. Therefore, decisionmakers need to choose a suitable strategy to deal with PDH issue, which embraces intertwined interior and exterior factors that could have antithetical impacts on each particular case (Hall, 1962) (cited by (Johnson 2007a)). Thus, if decision-makers do not apply previous recovery strategies there is no platform for decision-making process. Moreover, when previous strategies are used there is no guarantee to achieve similar outcomes. In this regard, Kapucu & Garayev (2011) stated that traditional decision-making approaches cannot be used in emergencies, which need flexible tools. Therefore, it is necessary to have a model that could cover human errors and consider the correspondences and interconnections between previous cases and new cases.

The provision of well-planned settlement solutions for people who have been displaced by conflict or natural disasters is crucially important. Bad planning of settlements can have a number of negative effects, in the worst case destabilising whole countries or even entire regions. In contrast, well-planned settlements can have a positive impact which extends beyond the provision of basic shelter.

The influx of large numbers of displaced people into an area, and any TH response to their needs, will have consequences beyond the displaced population itself. Both the local and displaced populations

can expect temporary settlement to have an impact on their lives in many ways:

IIIII II.i sssss ssurity

IIIII I jaa. Ith

iiiiii ii næe^l ssss ssss rr ivccnnniiii gii ty iiiivll idddds

ttttt trll-resource management

...mmmaaalssrr ، iciii n<u>i</u>rsstrttt ur

It is essential for organisations responsible for implementing TH programmes to be aware of these consequences, in order to reduce the negative effects and increase the positive effects of their work. As studied in the case of Sar-e-Pol-e-Zahab,



most post-disaster accommodation programs have problems with climate, culture, livelihood and society, which are due to misunderstanding and lack of study of the real context and conditions of people affected by the accident.

For instance, TH responses which match the cultural expectations of the displaced population are less likely to fracture social structures, or to disrupt existing communities within the displaced population. Friction between or within families, or conflicts between clans or ethnic groups, may be reduced through appropriate TH responses. Adapting generic guidelines for the temporary settlement of displaced populations to local and cultural circumstances must be based on sound assessment, monitoring, and evaluation – matters. The approach of these guidelines to the TH of displaced populations is based on a holistic of th eeed frr 'eeeltrr' It ssss itt rr prtt tt i beyond the temporary provision of tents and camps, aiming instead to support all the settlement and shelter options that are open to displaced lllll ll aa been aatggrr is si int 'HH programme options. Figure 4. (Corsellis & Vitale, 2011).

Based on a demand and capacity logic and based on studies of minimum standards of (Sphere Association, 2018) the temporary shelters system can be divided into three sub-systems as follow:

TTihnooraruuuuuugg

TTihnoorarooooi aledemnnts

TTihnoorarooommssssrrvieeoooffccilitiss

Each of the above sub-systems has its specific spatial unit(s), and these units can be of three private,

Semi-private, and public type. It is suggested that these units are planned based on the following measures:

vvvviii n miii mum leeel - f cmnfrrt add welfare

PPPiii di teemttt ll sppprrt frr refeeess

llll wwi... t stdddrrd frr aariuu.. fnntt i ff spaces

Oriiii zi t aaaee bss teeir pre-planned functions

iiii itt ooiii rrr tt i tee loaal nnd environmental factors

Ciiii drri multi-functioning for some spaces, if possible

Craatin t tdddcccy ff retrr nigg ccc to permanent residence

Ciiii eerin t ssstii bbbl vvvll ppmett principles

Using the experiences gained form the past aartaaaa sss ss well ss ooott cccucccy aaalutt iaaaaaa eer eelff ul for meetittt aaaæeee measures. According to the study of the problems of Sarpol-e Zahab in temporary accommodation, the desired solutions, experts and also the minimum standards of (Sphere Association, 2018) can be divided into four economic, social, cultural and environmental areas in the table 4 below.



Figure 4. Six 'TH programmed options. (Source: Corsellis & Vitale, 2011).



Table 4. Guideline for Sustainable temporary housing solutions.

Main Indou	Definition	Reference
Ec.	It is useful to consider the similarities and differences in the interests of stakeholder groups affected by temporary settlement. This might help to develop common operational guidelines which could improve co-ordination and co-operation between different organizations in the field.	Corsellis,(2011).Shelter Center, (2012)
	Livelihoods are defined as a range of resource arrangement strategies of production, consumption, and exchange for improving human living conditions.	Twigg, (2006). Allison and Ellis, (2001)
	Space surrounding the units can also be used for cultivating zones or work spaces, which ma— be profitable for the families' economy. Since a settlement is not just a collection of individual household's design beyond the units is a key to create greater living environments for temporary settlements.	Kellett, Moore, (2003)
	Resource management for post-disaster reconstruction projects.	Chang et al., (2010).
	simple construction systems that are easy to assembly and dismantle, and that use small elements, which are easier to handle, should be preferred	Arslan, (2007)
	Recovering the sense of community is very important in post disaster situations and the real meaning of the term community is in the richness of social-relationships	Kellett, Moore, (2003)
	The relation between the temporary housing units and these public spaces and buildings has to be carefully designed too. It is important to yield buffer zon. s from public domain to the units' private area in . rder to exist privacy among neighbors, as well as to facilitate social support and interaction.	Caia et al, (2010)
	The units' location has to be carefully established to ensure that people do not feel displaced, and that they are closer to their work places, services and amenities. Usually temporary housing units are built in periphery areas, which can cause social isolation and the need for extra infrastructure and services such as bus transportation.	Johnson, (2007b)
	participation has to be locally decided according to the context	Davidson et al., (2007).
S	Community recovery. community-based approaches	Lizarralde & Massyn, (2008)
	An awareness of local and national laws (Legal context), is essential in order to understand the socio-political context of a settlement. local and national laws will affect the use of land for settlements.	Corsellis, (2011), Shelter Center, (2011)
	Skills training programmes and apprenticeship schemes can maximise opportunities for participation during construction, particularly for individuals lacking the required building skills or experience.	Sphere Project, (2018)
	Neighborhood planning should support existing social networks, contribute to security and enable self-management by the affected population. The plot layout in temporary planned camps should maintain the privacy and dignity of separate households by ensuring that each household shelter opens onto common space or a screened area for the use of the household instead of being opposite the entrance to another shelter.	Sphere Project, (2018)
Cul.	Local resources, such as materials, construction techniques and workforce, greatly contributes to reduce costs, to improve local economy and to provide better cultural and local integration.	Gulahane & Gokhale, (2012)
	Local characteristics: (1) <i>Local potentials</i> , which consider local possibilities of providing temporary accommodation based on material and immaterial properties; and (2) <i>affected population</i> by natural disaster with different-features which include DP and others, that play an important role in PDA provision.	Blaikie et al. (2014), UNDRO (1982), Sliwinsky, (2007)



Main Index	Definition	Reference
	ensuring adequate space provision and privacy in both individual household shelters and temporary collective accommodation. Sufficient space should be provided for culturally appropriate burials and associated rituals.	Sphere Project, (2018)
	Understand precise local context in order to provide solutions that match with their future users and environment	Johnson, (2007a). UNDRO, (1982).
	Flexibility is crucial to allow simple and quick transformations that make the unit able to accommodate these multifunctional spaces. Essential to make users capable of customizing and personalizing their units, making additions or modifications according to their needs and possibilities. In disaster scenarios housing is often a work place for families.	UNDRO, 1982. Kellett& Tipple, (2000), ElMasri& Kellett, (2001). Barakat, (2003). Bedoya, (2004). Lizarralde & Davidson, (2006). Lizarralde & Root, (2007). Arslan & Cosgun, (2008) Sener & Altum, (2009).
	meeting people's aspirations and incorporatin. local forms of housing	Barakat, (2003)
	The use of local resources does not mean that innovation should not be used; if properly introduced and culturally integrated, some new materials and technologies may considerably contribute to improve housing solutions after disasters	Davidson et al. (2007). Garofalo & Hill. (2008). Shaw, Takeuchi, Uy & Sharma.(2008)
	Existing local practices in the use of covered living space accommodation of extended family members, should inform the covered area required.	Sphere Project, (2018)
En.	Construction resilience should be consistent with known climatic conditions and natural hazards and should consider adaptations to address the local impact of climate change.	Corsellis, (2011), Shelter Center, (2012)
	Reversibility of the construction process; This strategy proposes the possibility to reintroduce materials and spatial resources into another production cycle or to reintegrate them into the natural environment without production of waste or resides. Just like the reuse possibilities, the reversibility concept is only possible if properly planned ahead during the design phase.	Bologna, (2006)
	Debris removal: Is a priority to enable the provision of shelter and the establishment of appropriate settlement solutions. The use, management, ownership and environmental impact of disposal sites should be considered.	Sphere Project, (2018)
	The impact of a disaster on the natural environment should be assessed to inform the response and mitigating activities required.	Sphere Project, (2018)

5. Discussion and Conclusion

The growing international concern about the increased frequency of large-scale catastrophic disasters has increased the international drive to reduce the destructive effects on the lives and livelihoods of individuals and communities. Moving the trend of tackling disastrous incidents at global level from after to before the event, the proactive entry point, Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR), emphasized, is a shift from reactive emergency relief to proactive disaster risk In parallel, however, the reactive entry point of post-disaster management retains its importance because earthquakes and other extreme natural

hazards do not wait until our cities get ready! Prevention following a proactive approach is better than a cure, but it has proved to be illusive in disaster research and response (Pelling, 2012).

This review of the study literature underlines the considerable complexity of post-disaster contexts. With the rising number of victims after disaster and the slow response of government to provide shelter and providing Temporary housing that is a crucial step of the disaster recovery, a new typology, will create a responsive plan to ensure the, protection, security and basic conditions for life with dignity, environmentally conscious, and ready for

SISIN

implementation into the current framework of society, must be planned.

Any temporary settlement (TH) response For example, planning a post-disaster temporary housing

In the *SarPol-e Zahab Kermanshah* should be planned on the strategic, programme, and project levels. The overall process is the same on all three levels. It consists of developing the profile of a situation, followed by a detailed plan of action to reach the set objectives. The process described here intended as a checklist of factors which should be taken into account when planning temporary settlement. The generic planning process is structured in the following way: Figure 5.

strategic planning- Strategic planning manages temporary settlement on a national or regional level. It deals with the TH and shelter needs of the affected population Several or even all of the six TH programmed options described above are combined to form a coherent strategy.

programmed planning- Programme planning deals with the needs of a specific group of displaced people. For instance, all projects within a particular camp are combined to form a project ll nn to priii for tee TH eeed of tee cmnp's inhabitants.

Project planning- Project planning develops and manages the activities required to undertake each project within a programme. For example, the programme plan for the *Sar-e-Pol Zahab* camp might require the expansion of a clinic. This would involve the production of tendering documents and schedules of work – activities which are co-ordinated within the project plan.

Adaitionally, The systems approach allows a comprehensive and cross-disciplinary view of the many apparently separate facets of a complex process such as post-disaster reconstruction. Instead of considering the many elements of the complexity independently, we focus our attention on the important relationships between them, and between them and their environment.

In the systems approach, the Temporary housing projects in post-disaster reconstruction process is recognized for its two main sub-systems: (i) organizational and (ii) technical; their interdependence is (or should be) essential in the environment of chaos following a disaster. The organizational sub-system includes elements raaarii 'w i t waat' frr xxmml e: oor css of financing, and definition of authorization and control mechanisms;

The technical process has to respect the habitual phases of project initiation, preparation, construction and hand-over, within the constraints of limited resources (and limited time) and with the involvement of a great variety of participants, often with divergent objectives. The technical subsystem includes elemttt s raaarii n 'www to consume the resources, for example: selection of materials and construction methods.

Thus, this study presents a customizable platform which is able to be applied for each case with regard to the findings from analyzing the case studies.

To this end, the decision-making process algorithm for selecting suitable PDA is presented in Fig.5. In general, this decision-making model embraces two main parts; organizational and technical. The organizational section, which contains Conditions and Availability sectors, is the initial screen phase for selecting PDA. The technical takes into account the alternative availability. Indeed, this section of the model considers whether the alternative PDA exits in the affected area or can be provided. Also, the Conditions part probes required infrastructures and conditions for utilizing each alternative by assessing local And TH characteristics with regard to the material and immaterial aspects. In the second screening phase, the detailed technical indicators are applied to assess acceptable/available alternatives based on economic, social, and environmental impacts by considering exclusive local features and demands to distinguish most suitable alternative(s) among all options. By the Johnson (2009), The systems approach allows a comprehensive and crossdisciplinary view of the many apparently separate facets of a complex process such as post-disaster reconstruction.

Therefore, decision-makers need to choose a suitable strategy to deal with PDA issue, which embraces intertwined interior and exterior factors that could have antithetical impacts on each particular case. It is necessary to have a model that could cover human errors and consider the correspondences and interconnections between previous cases and new cases.

In the end, decision-makers have the ability to deal with PDA for the Sar-e-Pol Zahab programs or each specific case by applying the strategy presented, which are derived from this study by



JRRI

simplifying the complicated PDA issue into explicit steps and characteristics. This research presents a new strategy to deal with a temporary accommodation and especially temporary housing provision program for decision-makers based on customizing effective factors. Through three main

vertexes and other inherent aspects gathered within these vertexes. Figure 6.

In Addition, the choice phases, which include these elements and the interconnections, have been defined. Finally, a customizable model was proposed to carry out a PDA and TH selection process. Figure 7.







Figure 6. The authors' custom model is based on Johnson's model to perform the PDA and TH selection process.



standard1:Strategic Planning



Figure 7. General PDA approach phase.

Acknowledgments

The current paper is extracted from the Ph.D. thesis of the First author (Elnaz Asgari Namin) in the Department of Architecture, Ardabil Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- 1. Abulnour, A. H. (2014). The post-disaster temporary dwelling: Fundamentals of provision, design and construction. *HBRC Journal*, *10*(1), 10–24. doi:10.1016/j.hbrcj.2013.06.001
- 2. Aquilino, M. J. (Ed.). (2011). Beyond shelter Architecture for Crisis. London: Thames & Hudson.
- 3. Arslan, H. (2007). Re-design, re-use and recycle of temporary houses. *Building and Environment*, 42, 400-406. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.07.032
- Arslan, H., & Cosgun, N. (2008). Reuse and recycle potentials of the temporary houses after occupancy:Example of Duzce, Turkey. *Building and Environment*, 43, 702–709. Doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv. 2007.01.051
- 5. Asefi, M., & Farrokhi, Sh. (2017). Proposing a Model for the Design of Post-Disaster Temporary Housing Based on the Needs of the Injured with Post-Implementation Evaluation Approach (Case Study:



Earthquake-Stricken Villages in Heris of East Azerbaijan). *Journal of Research and Rural Planning*. doi:10.22067/jrrp.v5i4.64121

- Barakat, S. (2003). Housing reconstruction after conflict and disaster. London: Overseas Development Institute. Retrieved 11 19, 2014, from www.odihpn.org
- 7. Bedoya, F. G. (2004). Habitat transitorily Viviana para emergencies.
- Biswas, A. (2019). Exploring Indian post-disaster temporary housing strategy through a comparative review. *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment*, 10(1), 14-35. doi: 10.1108/IJDRBE-09-2018-0037
- 9. Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., Davis, I., & Wisner, B. (2014). At risk: natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters. Routledge.
- Celik, E. (2017). A cause-and-effect relationship model for location of temporary shelters. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. 2.257-268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2017.02.020
- Chandler, P. J., Reams, M., & Committee, C. (2007). Environmental factors influencing the sitting of temporary housing in Orleans Parish. LSU Master's Theses. 3888. https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/ gradschool_theses/3888
- Chen, Z., Chen, X., Li, Q., & Chen, J. (2013). The temporal hierarchy of shelters: a hierarchical location model for earthquake-shelter planning. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 27(8). 1612–1630, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2013.763944
- 13. Chua, J., & Su, Y. (2012). The Application of TOPSIS Method in Selecting Fixed Seismic Shelter for Evacuation in Cities. *Systems Engineering Procedia*, *3*, 391 397. doi:10.1016/j.sepro.2011.10.061
- 14. Collins, S., Corsellis, T., & Vitale, A. (2010). *Transitional shelter: understanding shelter from the emergency through reconstruction and beyond*. ALNAP. Retrieved 10 10, 2014, from www.alnap.org
- 15. Corsellis, T., & Vitale, A. (2011). *Transitional settlement displaced populations*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge. Retrieved from http://www.shelterproject.org
- Cosgun, N., & Tas, M. (2007). A qualitative evaluation of the after earthquake permanent housings in Turkey in terms of user satisfaction Kocaeli, Gundogdu Permanent Housing model. *Building and Environment*, 42, 3418–3431
- 17. Da Silva, J. (2010). Key Considerations in Post-Disaster Reconstruction.
- Davidson, C. H. (2009). The challenge of organizational design for manufactured construction. *Construction Innovation*, 9(1), 42-57. doi:10.1108/14714170910931534
- Davidson, C. H., Johnson, C., Lizarralde, G., Dikmen, N., & Sliwinski, A. (2007). Truths and myths about community participation in post-disaster housing projects. Habitat International, 31(1), 100–115. doi: 10.1016/j.habitatint.2006.08.003
- 20. Davis, I. (1978). Shelter after disaster. Oxford: Oxford Polytechnic Press.
- 21. Davis, I., & Alexander, D. (2016). *Recovery from Disaster, Rout ledge* [22]. Davis, I. IFRC. & OCHA. (2015). Shelter after Disaster, 2nd ed., Switzerland.
- 22. DFID, U. (2010). Shelter after disaster. Shelter Centre.
- 23. Shelter Centre (2012). Transitional shelter guidelines. www.sheltercentre.org/library.
- 24. Sener, S. M., & Altum, M. C. (2009). Design of a post disaster temporary shelter unit. A|Z ITU Journal of the Faculty of Architecture, 6, 58–74. https://www.az.itu.edu.tr/azv6n2web/06seneraltun0602.pdf
- 25. Bologna, R. (2006). Strategic planning of emergency areas for transitional settlement. In 2006 international conference and student competition on post-ii aatter rcctttt rttt i mmeeti tteeelll der itt rrsst"" rrrr ccc Itll y. May 17–19, 2006. http://www.grif.umontreal.ca/pages/BOLOGNA_Roberto.pdf
- 26. Shaw, R., Takeuchi, Y., Uy, N., & Sharma, A. (2008). Policy note: indigenous knowledge–disaster risk reduction. *EU, UNISDR, Kyoto University and Seeds, Kyoto*.
- 27. Garofalo, L., & Hill, D. (2008). Prefabricated recovery: post-disaster housing component production and delivery. *Without a Hitch-New Directions in Prefabricated Architecture*, 64-71.
- 28. El-Anwar, O., El-Rayes, K., & Elnashai, A. (2009a). Disasters, Optimizing Large-Scale Temporary Housing Arrangements after Natural. *Computing in Civil Engineering*, 23(2). 110-118. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3801(2009)23:2(110))

JRIRIP

- 29. El-Anwar, O., El-Rayes, K., & Elnashai, A. (2009b). An automated system for optimizing post-disaster temporary housing allocation. *Computing in Civil Engineering*, 23(2), 110-118. doi:10.1061/ (ASCE) 0887-3801(2009)23:2(110))
- 30. Félix, D., Branco, J. M., & Feio, A. (2013). Temporary housing after disasters: A state of the art survey. *Habitat International*, 136-141. doi: 10.1016/j.habitatint.2013.03.006
- 31. Félix, D., Monteiro, D., Branco, J.M., Bologna, R., & Feio, A. (2015). The role of temporary accommodation buildings for post-disaster housing reconstruction. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 30 (4). 683-699. doi: 10.1007/s10901-014-9431-4
- 32. Gharaati, M., & Davidson, C. (2008). Who Knows Best? An Overview of Reconstruction after the Earthquake in Bam, Iran. 4. Proceedings of the.
- 33. Hadafi, F., & Fallahi, A. (2010). Temporary Housing Respond to Disasters in Developing Countries-Case Study: Iran-Ardabil and Lorestan Province Earthquakes. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 4(6), 1219-1225. Retrieved 12 1, 2014. from http://waset.org
- 34. Hall, A. D. (1962). A methodology for systems engineering. John Wiley & Sons.
- 35. Hidayat, B. a. (2010). A literature review of the role of project management in post-disaster reconstruction. *Procs 26th Annual ARCOM Conference* (pp. 1269-1278). Association of Researchers in Construction Management. Retrieved March 15, 2016. from http://usir.salford.ac.uk
- 36. Hosseini, S. A., de la Fuentea, A., & Pons, O. (2016a). Multi-criteria decision-making method for assessing the sustainability of post-ii aatter tmmrr rr iiiii iii iii t' tccllll iii ss: A aaee tt i Bmm 2003. Sustainable Cities and Society, 20, 38-51.
- 37. Hosseini, S. M. A., de la Fuentea, A., & Pons, O. (2016b). Multi- criteria Decision-Making Method for Sustainable Site Location of Post-Disaster Temporary Housing in Urban Areas. *Construction Engineering* and Management. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001137
- 38. IEES, International Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Seismology. (2017, November). Earthquake report, Sarpol-e Zahab Kermanshah Province, (fifth ed).
- 39. Johnson, C. (1995). Strategies for the reuse of temporary housing. Management, 4(3), 43-53.
- 40. sssss ssssC ())))))aaa t' t ii aaal ttttt ttmmrr rr iiiii iiii aaaiii ssss irrr tt isss frr tmmrr ar accommodation after disasters: Example of the 1999 Turkish earthquakes. In 2002 TIEMS disaster management conference. Waterloo. Bibliography 192
- 41. Johnson, C. (2007a). Impacts of prefabricated temporary housing after disasters: 1999 earthquakes in Turkey. *Habitat International*, 31(1), 36–52. doi: 10.1016/j.habitatint.2006.03.002
- 42. Johnson, C. (2007b). Strategies for the reuse of temporary housing. Urban Trans Formation Conference, Holcim Forum for Sustainable Construction. Shanghai, China
- 43. Johnson, C. (2007c). Strategic planning for post-disaster temporary housing. *Disasters, 31* (4), 435 458. doi:10.1111/j.0361-3666.2007.01018.x
- 44. Johnson, C. (2009). Planning for temporary. In C. J. Gonzalo Lizarralde, *Rebuilding after disasters: from emergency to sustainability* (pp. 70-87). Taylor & Francis.
- 45. Johnson, C., Lizarralde, G., & Davidson, C. H. (2006). A systems view of temporary housing projects in post-disaster reconstruction. *Construction Management and Economics*, 24(4), 367–378. doi: 10.1080/01446190600567977
- 46. Kapucu, N., & Garayev, V. (2011). Collaborative decision-making in emergency and disaster management. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 34(6), 366-375. doi:10.1080/01900692.2011.561477
- 47. Kelly, C. (2010). Strategic site selection and management. San Francisco: World Wildlife Fund, American National Red Cross. Retrieved 9 12, 2014, from http://green-recovery.org
- 48. Keeee Ammm Biii ttrr & Kll m I ()))))) ee iii ff 'Bii ld Bcc Btt ter': Evidence from Post-Tsunami Aceh and Sri Lanka. Contingencies and Crisis Management, 16(1), 24–36. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-5973.2008.00529.x
- Khazai, B., & Hausler, E. (2005). Intermediate Shelters in Bam and Permanent Shelter Reconstruction in Villages Following the 2003 Bam, Iran, Earthquake. *Earthquake Spectra*, 21(S1), 487–511. doi: 10.1193/1.2098907

- 50. Lindell, M. K., & Prater, C. S. (2003). Assessing community impacts of natural disasters. *Natural hazards review*, 4(176), 176-185. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)1527-6988(2003)4:4(176)
- 51. Lizarralde, G., & Davidson, C. (2006). Learning from the poor. *I-Rec conference proceedings 2006: post*disaster reconstruction: Meeting the sta eholders' interest.
- 52. Lizarralde, G., Johnson, C., & Davidson, C. (2009). *Rebuilding after disasters: from emergency to sustainability*. Taylor & Francis. Pdf
- 53. Lizarralde, G., & Massyn, M. (2008). Unexpected negative outcomes of community participation in lowcost housing projects in South Africa. *Habitat international*, 32(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2007.06.003
- 54. Gulahane, K., & Gokhale, V. A. (2012). Design criteria for temporary shelters for disaster mitigation in India. In G. Lizarralde, R. Jigyasu, R. Vasavada, S. Havelka & J. Duyne Barenstein (Eds.), *Participatory* design and appropriate technology for disaster reconstruction. Conference proceedings. 2010 international i-Rec conference
- 55. Caia, G., Ventimiglia, F., & Maass, A. (2010). Container vs. dacha: The psychological effects of temporary housing characteristics on earthquake survivors. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30, 60–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2009.09.005
- 56. Kellett, P., & Moore, J. (2003). Routes to home: homelessness and home-making in contrasting societies. *Habitat International*, 27(1), 123-141. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-3975(02)00039-5
- 57. Allison, E. H., & Ellis, F. (2001). The livelihoods approach and management of small-scale fisheries. *Marine policy*, 25(5), 377-388. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-597X(01)00023-9
- 58. Twigg, J. (2006). Technology, post-disaster housing reconstruction and livelihood security. In B. H. Centre (Ed.), Disaster studies working paper no. 15.
- Chang, Y., Wilkinson, S., Potangaroa, R., & Seville, E. (2010). Resourcing challenges for post-disaster housing reconstruction: a comparative analysis. *Building Research & Information*, 38(3), 247-264. https://doi.org/10.1080/09613211003693945
- 60. Nigg, J. M., Barnshaw, J., & Torres, M. R. (2006). Hurricane Katrina and the flooding of New Orleans: Emergent issues in sheltering and temporary housing. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 604(1), 113-128.
- 61. El-Masri, S., & Kellett, P. (2001). Post-war reconstruction. Participatory approaches to rebuilding the damaged villages of Lebanon: a case study of al-Burjain. *Habitat International*, 25(4), 535-557. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-3975(01)00023-6
- 62. KelleTT, P., & Tipple, A. G. (2000). The home as workplace: a study of income-generating activities within the domestic setting. *Environment and Urbanization*, 12(1), 203-214. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F095624780001200115
- 63. Nojavan, M., & Omidvar, B. (2013). The Selection of Site for Temporary Sheltering using Fuzzy Algorithms; Case study: Tehran Metropolitan after earthquake, Municipal districts No 1. 31, 205-221. [In Persian] Retrieved 11 5, 2014, from http://www.sid.ir/fa/VEWSSID/J_pdf/28713923112.pdf
- 65. Peacock, W. G., Dash, N., & Zhang, Y. (2007). Sheltering and Housing Recovery Following Disaster. In *Handbook of disaster research* (pp. 258-274). New York: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-32353-4_15
- 66. Pelling, M. (2012). The vulnerability of cities: natural disasters and social resilience. Earthscan.
- Perrucci, D., Baroud, H. (2020). A Review of Temporary Housing Management Modeling: Trends in Design Strategies, Optimization Models, and Decision-Making Methods. *Sustainability*, 12, 10388. https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410388
- 68. Quarantelli, E. L. (1995). Patterns of shelter and housing in US disasters. *Disaster Prevention and Management*, 4, 43-53.
- 69. Sadiqi, Z., Coffey, V., & Trigunarsyah, B. (2012). Rebuilding Housing after a Disaster: Factors for Failure. *International Institute for Infrastructure, Renewal and*, (pp. 292-300).
- 70. Sliwinsky, A. (2007). Social dynamics in participatory reconstruction: an anthropological analysis from El Salvador., (pp. 225-234).



- 71. Soltani, A., Ardalan, A., Boloorani, A. D., Haghdoost, A., & Hosseinzadeh-Attar, M. J. (2014). Site Selection Criteria for Sheltering after Earthquakes: A Systematic Review. *PLoS currents*, 6. Retrieved4 1, 2015, from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
- 72. Sphere Association. (2018). The Sphere Handbook: *Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Humanitarian Response*, (4nd ed., pp. 237–287), Geneva, Switzerland. www.spherestandards. org/handbook
- 73. United Nations (UN). (2013). *Housing*. (United Nations Statistics Division) Retrieved from http://unstats.un.org
- 74. United Nations Disaster Relief Organization (UNDRO). (1982). Shelter after disaster: Guidelines for assistance. New York: UN.
- 75. Wagemann, E. (2017). Need for adaptation: transformation of temporary houses, *Disasters*, 41(4), 828-851. doi:10.1111/disa.12228
- 76. Wei, L., Li, W., Li, K., Liu, H., & Chenguse, a. L. (2012). Decision Support for Urban Shelter Locations Based on Covering Model. *Procedia Engineering*, 43, 59 – 64. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2012.08.011
- 77. Yi, H., & Yang, J. (2014). Research trends of post disaster reconstruction: The past and the future. *Habitat International*, 42, 21-29. doi: 10.1016/j.habitatint.2013.10.005
- 78. Yu, H. & Bai, G. (2018). Research on Modularization and Sustainable Design of Temporary Housing. Art and Design Review, 06(03), 125-132. doi: 10.4236/adr.2018.63012.
- 79. Lizarralde, G., & Root, D. (2007, May). Ready-made shacks: Learning from the informal sector to meet housing needs in South Africa. In *CIB world banking conference*.
- 80. Askar, R., et al. (2019, February). From Temporary to Permanent; A Circular Approach for Post-disaster Housing Reconstruction. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.



Volume 10, No. 3, Summer 2021, Serial No. 34, Pp. 39-60

eISSN: 2783-2007

ISSN: 2322-2514

تاريخ دريافت: ۱۶ بهمن ۱۳۹۹

http://jrrp.um.ac.ir

Original Article

تبیین مدل استراتژی اسکان پس از سانحه (مطالعه موردی: سر پل ذهاب، کرمانشاه)

الناز عسگری نمین'- علی جوان فروزنده*۲- مازیار آصفی۲- کاظم شاکری ً

۱-دانشجوی دکتری معماری، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد اردبیل، اردبیل، ایران. ۲- استادیار معماری، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد اردبیل، اردبیل، ایران. ۳- استاد معماری و شهرسازی، دانشگاه هنر اسلامی تبریز، تبریز، ایران. ۴- دانشیار شهرسازی، دانشگاه محقق اردبیلی، اردبیل، ایران.

تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۸ تیر ۱۴۰۰

چکیدہ مبسوط

۱. مقدمه

مردمي كه تحت تأثير سانحه قرار مي گيرند حق زندگي با منزلت و دریافت کمک برای تسـکین دردهای انسـانی اشـان دارند. برنامهریزی مسکن موقتی زمانی که تصمیم گیرندگان از در نظر گرفتن ارتباط بین نیازهای کوتاممدت و بلندمدت تمام ذینفعان محلی در مشـخصـههای مسكن موقتي انتخابي غفلت مي كنند با شكست مواجه مي شود. باوجوداینکه مسکن موقت جزو لاینفک تمام پروژههای بازسازی پس از سانحه می باشد همواره متر تب نقدهایی بوده است . نقدهای دریافتی عمدتاً به دلیل عدم مطابقت اقلیمی بحثهای فرهنگی و اجتماعی بوده است با توجه به بررسی مشکلات اسکان پس از سانحه در سر پل ذهاب نيز عمدتاً مشكلات گفتهشده به تفسير بيان گرديده است . از آنجايي كه تمامی این مشکلات به دلیل عدم توانایی در پرداختن به جمیع مسائل در زمان اضطرار سانحه است، هدف از این تحقیق ارائه بستری برای تصمیم گیرندگان برای انتخاب استراتژی اسکان پس از سانحه بر اساس الزامات كوتاهمدت و بلندمدت است. اين يلت فرم، كليه عوامل مرتبط را که در سه محور اصلی ۱) ویژگیهای محلی ۲) ویژگیهای بلایای طبیعی و ۳) ویژگیهای اسکان پس از سانحه در نظر می گیرد. لذا مهم ترین ســؤال این تحقیق عبارت اســت از: اصـلی ترین ملزومات استراتژی اسکان پس از سانحه و عناصر تشکیل دهنده آن کدام است؟

نظام شامل: () مقياس زماني ٢) سبک تهيه و٣) عمر دوم مسکن موقت مى باشد. شاخص مقياس زمانى شامل مراحل مختلف بعد از سانحه ، شامل: ۱)پناهگاه اضطراری(در طول چند ساعت) ۲) پناهگاه موقتی(در طول چند روز) ۳) مسکن موقتی (در طول چند هفته) ۴) مسکن دائمی (در طول سالها) و ویژگیهای آنهاست. شاخص سبک تهیه، انواع روش های تهیه مسکن موقت پس از سانحه شامل : روش کیت (سرهمبندی در محل) و روش پیش ساخته می باشد. و نیز تقسیمات سکونتگاه پس از سانحه به دو گروه پراکنده و گروهی در ۶ زیر بخش (خانواده های میزبان ، خود اسکانی روستایی ، خود اسکانی شهری ، مراکز جمعی، خود اسکانی اردوگاهی و اردوگاه طراحی شده) مربوط می شود. شاخص زندگی دوم ، سناریوهای مختلف استفاده از مسکن موقتی شامل مراحل استفاده مجدد و بازیافت را پس از انتقال مردم بیخانمان به مسکن دائمی در نظر می گیرد. حداقل استانداردها منعكس كننده محتواي اصلى حق مسكن مناسب و حداقل استانداردهای اسکان و سکونتگاه است، که شامل ۵ آیتم اصلی: برنامهریزی استراتژیک، برنامهریزی سکونتگاه، استاندارد فضای زندگی سر پوشیده، استاندارد ساخت و تأثیرات محیطی است. توجه به این آیتمها در فرآیند برنامهریزی جزو ضروریات می باشد.

عوامل دخیل در تأمین اسکان موقت پس از سانحه، از برنامهریزی تا

زندگی دوم ، بهعنوان نظام مسکن موقت در نظر گرفته می شود. این

آدرس: گروه معماری، دانشکده فنی، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد اردبیل، اردبیل، ایران. پست الكترونيكى: Email: alijavanforouzande@gmail.com



^{*.} نویسندهٔ مسئول:

دكتر على جوان فروزنده

روشهای ساختوساز. **۵. بحث و نتیجهگیری**



با دو زیرسیستم اصلی آن شناخته می شود: (الف) سازمانی و (ب) فنی.

و وابستگی متقابل آنها ، سیستم سازمانی شامل عناصری درمورد "چه

كسبى مى تواند انجام دهد"مى باشد. به عنوان مثال: منابع تأمين مالى ،

و تعریف مکانیسههای مجوز و کنترل. و سیستم فنی شامل عناصر

مربوط به "چگونگی" مصرف منابع می باشد، برای مثال: انتخاب مواد و

هر منطقه آسیبدیده دارای شرایط اختصاصی است که منجر به

انتخاب اســـتراتژی خاص خود می شــود. در این مطالعه یک اســتراتژی

جامع که شامل عوامل داخلی و بیرونی درهم تنیدهای که بتواند خطاهای انسانی را در بربگیرد و مکاتبات و ارتباطات بین پروندههای

قبلی و موارد جدید را در نظر بگیرد در قالب مدل فرآیند تصمیم گیری

برای انتخاب اسکان پس از سانحه ارائه شده است. این مدل

تصمیم گیری شامل دو بخش اصلی است: سازمانی و فنی. بخش

سازمانی ، به تأمین زیرساختها با توجه به امکانات در دسترس محلی

توجه دارد. شاخصهای فنی برای ارزیابی گزینههای قلبل قبول / در

دسترس، بر اساس تأثیرات اقتصادی ، اجتماعی و زیستمحیطی با در

نظر گرفتن ویژگیها و خواستههای انحصاری محلی برای تشخیص

درنهایت استراتژی برنامه ریزی مسکن موقت برای تصمیم گیرندگان با

تفکیک دو بخش سازمانی و فنی در سه رأس اصلی برنامهریزی،

ارائهشده است تا بر اساس شخصیسازی عوامل مؤثر در هر موقعیت

كليدواژه ها: اسكان موقت يس از سانحه، مسكن موقت، مردم

مقاله حاضر از رساله دکترای نویسنده اول (الناز عسگری نمین) در گروه

معماری، واحد اردبیل، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، اردبیل، ایران استخراج شده

است. این تحقیق هیچگونه کمک مالی خاصبی از آژانسهای تأمین

مالی در بخشهای عمومی ، تجاری یا غیرانتفاعی دریافت نکرده است.

مناسبترین گزینهها بین همه گزینهها استفاده میشود.

تصميم گيرندگان تصميمات لازمه را اتخاذ كنند.

بىخانمان، تابآورى، استراتژى.

تشکر و قدردانی

پژوهش حاضر از نوع کاربردی است و به روش توصیفی- تحلیلی انجامشده است. برای جمع آوری اطلاعات، از روش اسنادی و کتابخانهای استفاده شده است. در این بررسی تمرکز اصلی بر ارائه مؤلفه های کیفی مسکن موقت پس از سانحه در قللب یک مدل استراتژیک به عنوان بستری برای تصمیم گیرندگان در شرایط بحران است.

۴. یافتههای تحقیق

این تحقیق با تفکیک دو بخش سازمانی و فنی به سه بخش اصلی (سطوح استراتژیک ، برنامهریزی و پروژه) ، یک استراتژی جامع در برنامهریزی اسکان موقت، برای تصمیم گیریهای لازم در مناطق فاجعه را ارائه میدهد؛ که قابلیت تعمیم در نمونههای مشلبه، بر اساس اولویتبندی مؤلفهها با توجه به زمینه محلی هر سانحه را دارا میباشد. هر برنامهریزی مسکن موقت باید در سطوح ۱) استراتژیک ، ۲) پروگرام و ۳) پروژه انجام شود. در هر یک از این مراحل فوق چکلیست عواملی که بلید در هنگام برنامهریزی در نظر گرفته شود ، مدنظر است. که شامل:

۱) برنامهریزی استراتژیک: برنامهریزی استراتژیک، سکونتگاه موقت را در سطح ملی یا منطقهای مدیریت می کند در این بخش همه شش گزینه برنامه مسکن موقت ، برای ایجاد یک استراتژی منسجم، ترکیب شدهاند.
۲) برنامه ریزی پروگرام: با نیازهای گروه خاصی از آوارگان سروکار دارد بهعنوان مثال ، تمام پروژه های داخل یک اردوگاه خاص برای تشکیل یک طرح پروژه به منظور تأمین نیازهای ساکنان اردوگاه خاص برای تشکیل یک ۳) برنامه ریزی پروژه به منظور تأمین نیازهای ساکنان اردوگاه خاص برای تشکیل یک ۳) برنامه ریزی پروژه به منظور تأمین نیازهای ساکنان اردوگاه ترکیب شدهاند.
۳) برنامه ریزی پروژه ایماه ریزی پروژه فعالیتهای موردنیاز برای انجام هر پروژه را در یک برنامه ریزی پروژه ایماه ریزی پروژه ایماه ریزی پروژه ایماه ریزی پروژه می کند. به عنوان مثال ، ترای گسترش کلینیک در یک اردوگاه با هماهنگی فعالیتهای آن در برای گسترش کلینیک در یک اردوگاه با هماهنگی فعالیتهای آن در برای گسترش کلیزی پروژه انجام می گیرد.
۱) افزون بر این، رویکرد سیستمها یک دیدگاه جامع و مقطعی از بسیاری افزون بر این، رویکرد سیستمها یک فرآیند پیچیده مانند اسکان پس از بسیاری را خانون بر این، رویکرد سیستمها یک دیدگاه جامع و مقطعی از بسیاری برای حمه می نیز می کند. به جای اینکه عناصر پیچیده بستاری را از جنبههای ظاهراً جداگانه یک فرآیند پیچیده مانند اسکان پس از سانحه را امکان پذیر می کند. به جای اینکه عناصر پیچیده بین آنها ، و به طور مستقل در نظر بگیریم ، توجه خود را به روابط مهم بین آنها ، و بین آنها و محیط آن ها متمرکز می کنیم. فرآیند اسکان پس از سانحه این ای ها و محیط آن ها متمرکز می کنیم. فرآیند اسکان پس از سانحه ایند اسکان پس از سانحه را می ایند اسکان پس از سانحه را می می ایند اسکان پس از می این اینها و محیط آن ها متمرکز می کنیم. فرآیند اسکان پس ای ایند اسکان پس از میند اسکان پس از مین آنها و محیط آن ها می می کنیم. فرآیند اسکان پس از این می ای می می می آی ها و محیط آن ها می می کنیم. فرآیند اسکان پس از سانحه ایند ای می آنها و محیط آن ها می می کنیم. فرآیند اسکان پس ای اینده ای کنه می می می کند.

Use your device to scan and read the article online

How to cite this article:

Asgari Namin, E., Javan Forouzandeh, A., Asefi, M. & Shakeri, K. (2021). Explaining the model of post-disaster temporary accommodation strategy (Case study: Sarpol-e Zahab, Kermanshah). *Journal of Research & Rural Planning*, *10*(3), 39-60.

http://dx.doi.org/10.22067/jrrp.v10i3.88506